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INTRODUCTION

Purpose

The goal of Gun Facts is to provide a quick reference guide for composing arguments for debates, letters to editors, email to your representatives, and statements to the media.

Copyright information

This document is the copyrighted property of Guy Smith, Alameda, California. All rights are reserved unless noted below.

The PDF version of this document may be freely distributed by any and all parties, providing that the document is not altered and that the source is always cited. "Reasonable use" laws apply, which basically means you can use any small section of the work without my prior consent.

You are also allowed to print this document for your personal reference and/or for distribution without fee (i.e., you can’t charge money for copies of any version of Gun Facts in either electronic or paper formats). This means if you want to print copies for the media or your elected officials, you are free to do so. Any distribution to anyone else in any format must include the entire work.

Questions, corrections and suggestions

If you need to communicate with the author, please send e-mail to guy@GunFacts.info. Your corrections, comments, additions and suggestions are welcomed and encouraged. When providing new information, please cite the original reference in detail – publication, title, author, date, etc. – this is essential.

Sources

All sources cited in this work are accurate to the best of my research. I use the most recent data I can easily find. If any more recent data is available (even if it weakens my arguments), I welcome receiving the same.

Contributions

I accept non-tax-exempt donations to pay for the software, hardware, paper and ink used in composing, editing, and distributing Gun Facts. If you would like to help, drop by www.PayPal.com and send your donations to guy@GunFacts.info.

Palm Pilot users

Adobe now offers a PDF reader for the Palm. Reports indicate that Gun Facts can be read fairly well using it. See www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html
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ASSAULT WEAPONS

“Assault weapon” is an invented term. In the firearm lexicon, there is no such thing as an “assault weapon”. The closest relative is the “assault rifle”, which is a machine gun that fires rifle cartridges.1

Myth: “Assault weapons” are a serious problem in the U.S.

Fact: In 1994, before the Federal assault weapons ban, you were eleven (11) times more likely to be beaten to death than to be killed by an “assault weapon”.2

Fact: Nationally, “assault weapons” were used in 1.4% of crimes involving firearms and 0.25% of all violent crime before the enactment of any national or state “assault weapons” ban. In many major urban areas (San Antonio, Mobile, Nashville, etc.) and some entire states (Maryland, New Jersey, etc.) the rate is less than 0.1%3

Fact: Even weapons misclassified as “assault weapons” (common in the Federal and California assault weapons confiscations) are used in less than 1% of all homicides.4

Fact: Police reports show that “assault weapons” are a non-problem:

For California:

• Los Angeles: In 1998, of 538 documented gun incidents, only one (0.2%) involved an "assault weapon".
• San Francisco: In 1998, only 2.2% of confiscated weapons were "assault weapons".
• San Diego: Between 1988 and 1990, only 0.3% of confiscated weapons were "assault weapons". 
• “I surveyed the firearms used in violent crimes...assault-type firearms were the least of our worries.”5

For the rest of the nation:

• Between 1980 and 1994, only 2% of confiscated guns were "assault weapons".
• Just over 2% of criminals that used guns used “assault weapons”.

Fact: Only 1.4% of recovered crime weapons are models covered under the 1994 assault weapons ban.6

_____________________________

1 Department of Defense Small Arms Identification and Operations Guide
2 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1994
4 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1993
5 S.C. Helsley, Assistant Director DOJ Investigation and Enforcement Branch, California, October 31, 1988
Fact: In Virginia, no surveyed inmates had carried an assault weapon during the commission of their last crime, despite 20% admitting that they had previously owned such weapons.7

Fact: Most “assault weapons” have no more firepower or killing capacity than the average hunting rifle and “play a small role in overall violent crime”.8

Fact: Even the government agrees. “… the weapons banned by this legislation [1994 Federal Assault Weapons ban] were used only rarely in gun crimes”9

Myth: One out of five police officers killed are killed with “assault weapons”10

Fact: This “study” included firearms not on the Federal “assault weapons” list. Including various legal firearms11 inflated the statistics almost 100%.

Fact: Only 1% of police officers murdered were killed using “assault weapons”. They were twice as likely to be killed with their own handgun.12

Myth: Assault weapons are favored by criminals

Fact: Only 8% of criminals use anything that is classified (even incorrectly) as an assault weapon13, though fewer than 1% claimed to use these firearms when committing crimes.14

Fact: Criminals are as likely to carry single shot (derringer) handguns than they are to carry assault weapons.15

---

7 Criminal Justice Research Center, Department of Criminal Justice Services, 1994
10 This claim was made by the anti-gun Violence Policy Center in their 2003 report titled “Officer Down”
11 The “study” included legal models of the SKS, Ruger Mini-14, and M1-Carbine, which were all in circulation before the federal “assault weapons” ban and which were excluded from the ban.
12 “Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted”, FBI, 1994
13 Bureau of Justice Statistics, “Firearm Use by Offenders”, November 2001
14 Ibid.
15 Ibid
Fact: "Assault rifles have never been an issue in law enforcement. I have been on this job for 25 years and I haven't seen a drug dealer carry one. They are not used in crimes, they are not used against police officers." 16

Fact: "Since police started keeping statistics, we now know that assault weapons are/were used in an underwhelming 0.026 of 1% of crimes in New Jersey. This means that my officers are more likely to confront an escaped tiger from the local zoo than to confront an assault rifle in the hands of a drug-crazed killer on the streets." 17

Thoughts: “Assault weapons” are large and unwieldy. Even misclassified handguns tend to be bigger than practical for concealed carry. Criminals (who incidentally disregard concealed carry laws) are unlikely to carry assault weapons.

Myth: Assault weapons can be easily converted to machine guns
Fact: Firearms that can be “readily converted” are already prohibited by law.

Fact: None of the firearms on the list of banned weapons can be readily converted. 18

Fact: Only 0.15% of over 4,000 weapons confiscated in Los Angeles in one year were converted, and only 0.3% had any evidence of an attempt to convert. 19

Fact: Recall the Rodney King riots in that anti-gun city of Los Angeles. Every major news network carried footage of Korean storeowners sitting on the roofs of their stores, armed with “assault weapons”. 20 Those were the stores that did not get burned to the ground, and those were the people that were not dragged into the street and beaten by rioters. "You can't get around the image of people shooting at people to protect their stores and it working. This is damaging to the [gun control] movement." 21

Myth: Assault weapons are used in 16% of homicides
Fact: This figure was concocted to promote an “assault weapons” bill in New York. The classification scheme used encompassed most firearms sold in the U.S. since 1987 (center fire rifles and shotguns holding more than six cartridges, and handguns holding more than 10 rounds). By misclassifying “assault weapons”, they expanded the scope of a non-problem.

16 Deputy Chief of Police Joseph Constance, Trenton NJ, testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee in Aug 1993
17 Ibid
18 BATF test as reported in the New York Times, April 3, 1989
20 Washington Post, May 2, 1992
21 Josh Sugarmann, executive director of the Violence Policy Center, Washington Post, May 18, 1993
Myth: The 1994 Federal Assault Weapons Ban was effective

Fact: “. . . we cannot clearly credit the ban with any of the nation’s recent drop in gun violence.”

Fact: The ban covered only 1.39% of the models of firearms on the market, so the ban’s effectiveness is automatically limited.

Fact: “The ban has failed to reduce the average number of victims per gun murder incident or multiple gunshot wound victims.”

Fact: “The public safety benefits of the 1994 ban have not yet been demonstrated.”

Fact: “The ban triggered speculative price increases and ramped-up production of the banned firearms” and thus increased the total supply over the following decade.

Fact: The Brady Campaign claims that “After the 1994 ban, there were 18% fewer assault weapons traced to crime in the first eight months of 1995 than were traced in the same period in 1994”. However they failed to note (and these are mentioned in the NIJ study) that:

1. “Assault weapons” traces were minimal before the ban (due to their infrequent use in crimes), so an 18% change enters the realm of statistical irrelevancy.

2. Fewer “assault weapons” were available to criminals because collectors bought-up the available supply before the ban.

Myth: Nobody needs an “assault weapon”

Fact: There are many reasons people prefer to use these firearms:

- They are easy to operate
- They are very reliable in outdoor conditions (backpacking, hunting, etc.)

“Passing a law like the assault weapons ban is a symbolic, purely symbolic move ... Its only real justification is not to reduce crime but to desensitize the public to the regulation of weapons in preparation for their ultimate confiscation.”


24 Ibid

25 Ibid

26 Ibid
• They are accurate
• They have value in many self-defense situations

**Fact:** There are many sports in which these firearms are required:

• Many hunters use these firearms
• Three-gun target matches
• Bodyguard simulations

**Fact:** Ours is a Bill of Rights, not a Bill of Needs.
CONCEALED CARRY LAWS AND WEAPONS

Myth: Concealed carry laws increase crime

Fact: 35 states (and the majority of the American population) live in “right-to-carry” states, and in each, the crime rate fell after the law became active.

Fact: Crime rates involving gun owners with carry permits have consistently been about 0.02% of carry permit holders since Florida’s right-to-carry law started in 1989.27

Fact: After passing their concealed carry law, Florida’s homicide rate fell from 36% above the national average to 4% below the national average and remains below the national average to this day.28

Fact: More to the point, crime is significantly higher in states without right-to-carry laws29:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Crime</th>
<th>% Higher in Restrictive States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>105%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crime</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto theft</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fact: The serious crime rate in Texas fell 50% faster than the national average after a concealed carry law was passed in 1995.

Fact: Deaths and injuries from mass public shootings fall dramatically after right-to-carry concealed handgun laws are enacted. Between 1977 and 1995, the average death rate from mass shootings

---

27 Florida Department of Justice, 1998
29 John Lott, David Mustard: This study involved county level crime statistics from all 3,054 counties in the U.S., from 1977 through 1992. During this time ten states adopted right-to-carry laws. It is estimated that if all states had adopted right-to-carry laws, in 1992 the US would have avoided 1,400 murders, 4,200 rapes, 12,000 robberies, 60,000 aggravated assaults – and saved over $5,000,000,000 in victim expenses.
plummeted by up to 91% after such laws went into effect, and injuries dropped by over 80%.

**Fact:** When citizens are allowed to carry concealed weapons:

- Murder rates drop 8%
- Rape rates fall 5%
- Aggravated assaults drop 7%

**Fact:** "Violent crime rates are highest overall in states with laws severely limiting or prohibiting the carrying of concealed firearms for self-defense".  

- The total Violent Crime Rate is 26% higher in the restrictive states (798.3 per 100,000 pop.) than in the less restrictive states (631.6 per 100,000).
- The Homicide Rate is 49% higher in the restrictive states (10.1 per 100,000) than in the states with less restrictive CCW laws (6.8 per 100,000).
- The Robbery Rate is 58% higher in the restrictive states (289.7 per 100,000) than in the less restrictive states (183.1 per 100,000).
- The Aggravated Assault Rate is 15% higher in the restrictive states (455.9 per 100,000) than in the less restrictive states (398.3 per 100,000).

**Myth:** People with concealed weapons will commit crimes

**Fact:** The results for the 30 states that have passed “shall-issue” laws for concealed carry permits are similar. Here are some specific cases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Permits issued</th>
<th>Revoked permits</th>
<th>% Revoked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>551,000³¹</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>50,000³²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>63,000³³</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fact:** People with concealed carry permits are:

- 5.7 times less likely to be arrested for violent offenses than the general public
- 13.5 times less likely to be arrested for non-violent offenses than the general public

---

³⁰ FBI Uniform Crime Reports, 1992 – for following bullet points  
³¹ October 1987 through Jan 1999  
³² 1995 – no follow-up data available  
³³ 1994 through 1998  
³⁴ William Strdevant, unpublished study reported in August 2000 edition of America’s 1st Freedom
Fact: In Texas, citizens with concealed carry permits are 14 times less likely to commit a crime. They are also five times less likely to commit a violent crime.35

Fact: Even gun control organizations agree it is a non-problem, as in Texas – “because there haven't been Wild West shootouts in the streets”.36

Fact: Of 14,000 CCW licensees in Oregon, only 4 (0.03%) were convicted of the criminal (not necessarily violent) use or possession of a firearm.

Fact: In Florida, a state that has allowed concealed carry since 1989, you are twice as likely to be attacked by an alligator than a person with a concealed carry permit.37

Myth: Texas CCW holders are arrested 66% more often

Fact: This claim comes from the Violence Policy Center (VPC), a gun control policy group. Most arrests the VPC cites are not for any form of violent crime (for example, bounced checks or tax delinquency).38

Fact: This data is also for arrests, not convictions.

Fact: Many of these arrests came in the early years of Texas CCWs, when the law was not understood by most of the law enforcement community or prosecutors.

Fact: Compared to the entire population, Texas CCW holders are about 7.6 times less likely to be arrested of a violent crime.39 The numbers breakdown as follows:

° 214,000 CCW holders
° 526 (0.2%) felony arrests of CCW holders that have been adjudicated
° 100 (0.05%) felony convictions

Fact: The four year violent crime rate for CCW holders is 128 per 100,000. For the general population, it is 710 per 100,000. In other words, CCW holders are 5.3 times less likely to commit a violent crime.40

35 Texas Department of Public Safety and the U.S. Census Bureau, reported in San Antonio Express-News, September, 2000
36 Nina Butts, Texans Against Gun Violence, Dallas Morning News, August 10, 2000
38 “Basis For Revocation Or Suspension Of Texas Concealed ”, Texas Department of Public Safety, December 1, 1998
40 “An Analysis Of The Arrest Rate Of Texas Concealed Handgun License Holders As Compared To The Arrest Rate Of The Entire Texas Population”, William E. Sturdevant, September 1, 2000
**Myth: People do not need concealable weapons**

**Fact:** In 79.7% of gun defenses, the defender used a concealable handgun. A quarter of the gun defenses occurred in places away from the defender's home.41

**Fact:** 77% of all violent crime occurs in public places.42 This makes concealed carry necessary for almost all self-defense needs. But due to onerous laws forbidding concealed carry, only 26.8% of defensive gun uses occurred away from home.43

**Fact:** Often small weapons (that are capable of being concealed) are the only ones usable by people of small stature, or those with physical disabilities.

**Fact:** The average citizen doesn't need a Sport Utility Vehicle, but driving one is arguably safer than other vehicles. Similarly, carrying a concealable gun makes the owner (and his/her community) safer as well, providing protection not otherwise available.

**Myth: Police are against concealed carrying by citizens**

**Fact:** “All the horror stories I thought would come to pass didn’t happen . . . I think it’s worked out well, and that says good things about the citizens who have permits. I’m a convert.”44

**Fact:** “I . . . [felt] that such legislation present[ed] a clear and present danger to law-abiding citizens by placing more handguns on our streets. Boy was I wrong. Our experience in Harris County, and indeed statewide, has proven my fears absolutely groundless.”45

**Fact:** Explain this to the Law Enforcement Alliance of America, Second Amendment Police Department, and Law Enforcement for the Preservation of the Second Amendment, all of whom support shall-issue concealed carry laws.

---


43 Kleck and Gertz, National Self Defense Survey, 1995

44 Glenn White, president, Dallas Police Association, Dallas Morning News, December 23, 1997

45 John B. Holmes, Harris County Texas district attorney, Dallas Morning News, December 23, 1997
BALLISTIC “FINGERPRINTING”

**Myth:** Every firearm leaves a unique "fingerprint" that can pinpoint the firearm used

**Fact:** “Firearms that generate markings on cartridge casings can change with use and can also be readily altered by the users. They are not permanently defined like fingerprints or DNA.”

**Fact:** “Automated computer matching systems do not provide conclusive results.”

**Fact:** “Because bullets are severely damaged on impact, they can only be examined manually.”

**Fact:** “Not all firearms generate markings on cartridge casings that can be identified back to the firearm.”

**Fact:** The same gun will produce different markings on bullets and casings, and different guns can produce similar markings.

**Fact:** The rifle used in the Martin Luther King assassination was test fired 18 times under court supervision, and the results showed that no two bullets were marked alike. “Every test bullet was different because it was going over plating created by the previous bullet.”

**Myth:** A database of ballistic profiles will allow police to trace gun crimes

**Fact:** More than 70% of armed career criminals get their guns from "off-the-street sales" and "criminal acts" such as burglaries, and 71% of these firearms are stolen. Tracing these firearms will not lead to the criminals as the trail stops at the last legal owner.

**Fact:** Computer image matching of cartridges fails between 38-62% of the time, depending on whether the cartridges are from the same or different manufacturers.

---

46 “Feasibility of a Ballistics Imaging Database for All New Handgun Sales”, Frederic Tulleners, California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services, October, 2001 (henceforth “FBID”)
48 Ibid
49 Ibid
51 “Ballistics 'fingerprinting' not foolproof”, Baltimore Sun, October 15, 2002
52 “Protecting America”, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 1992
53 “Armed and Considered Dangerous”, U.S. Department of Justice, 1986
54 FBID
Fact: “Automated computer matching systems do not provide conclusive results” requiring that "potential candidates be manually reviewed".55

Fact: Criminals currently remove serial numbers from stolen guns to hide their origin. The same simple shop tools can change a ballistic profile within minutes. “The minor alteration required less than 5 minutes of labor”.56 Criminals will make changing ballistic profiles part of their standard procedures.

Myth: Ballistic imaging is used in Maryland and New York and solves many crimes

Fact: Not so far. Neither New York nor Maryland has reported a single prosecution based on matched casings or bullets.57 58 59 The cost for this lack of success in Maryland exceeds $2,500,000 a year and New York budgets $4,000,000.

Fact: In Syracuse, the police have not submitted over 400 handguns for ballistic testing over a three-year span because the system is inefficient.60

Myth: A ballistic database is inexpensive to create/ maintain

Fact: “... a huge inventory [of possible matches] will be generated for manual review.”, “[The] number of candidate cases will be so large as to be impractical and will likely create logistic complications so great that they cannot be effectively addressed”.61

Myth: Police want a ballistic database

Fact: “The National Fraternal Order of Police does not support any Federal requirement to register privately owned firearms with the Federal government,” the group said. “And, even if such a database is limited to firearms manufactured in the future, the cost to create and maintain such a system, with such small chances that it would be used to solve a firearm crime, suggests to the F.O.P. that these are law enforcement dollars best spent elsewhere.”62

Fact: “We in law enforcement know it will not, does not, cannot work. Then, no one has considered the hundreds of millions of guns in the US that have never been registered or tested or printed.”63

55 Ibid
56 Ibid
57 “NY ballistic database firing blanks?”, Associated Press, June 3, 2004
58 “Ballistics ‘fingerprinting’ not foolproof”, Baltimore Sun, October 15, 2002
60 “400 guns wait to be traced by Syracuse police”, The Post-Standard, December 8, 2002
61 “Ballistics ‘fingerprinting’ not foolproof”, Baltimore Sun, October 15, 2002
62 “F.O.P. Viewpoint: Ballistics Imaging and Comparison Technology.”, FOP Grand Lodge, October 2002
63 Joe Horn, Detective, Retired.. Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept., Small Arms Expert
Fact: “One, the barrel is one of the most easily changed parts of many guns and two, the barrel, and the signature it leaves on a bullet, is constantly changing.”

64 Ted Deeds, chief operating officer of The Law Enforcement Alliance of America, Dodge Globe, Oct 24, 2002
.50 CALIBER RIFLES

Myth: .50s are the favorite weapon of terrorists

Fact: Statistically speaking, the majority of terrorist attacks are in the form of bombings (90%), kidnapping (6%), armed attack (2%), arson (1%), firebombing (1%), and other methods (2%). Of the “armed attacks”, the most favored weapons used were fully automatic AK-47 rifles.

Fact: Given that a commercial .50 caliber costs upwards of $10,000 each and that terrorists can buy the favored AK-47s in Pakistan for less than $200, it is unlikely they will opt for the larger rifle.

Fact: .50 caliber rifles are heavy (20-35 pounds), expensive (from $3,000 to $10,000 each) as is the ammunition ($2-5 per each round for military quality), impossible to conceal (typically four feet long), most are single shot (slower to reload than a hunting rifle) and impractical for terrorist activities.

Fact: .50 caliber rifles have only been used in 18 crimes in the history of the United States.

Myth: American gun makers sold .50s to terrorists

Fact: This “study” by the anti-gun Violence Policy Center was inaccurate. The rifles in question were sold to the United States government. The U.S. government gave the rifles to Afghan freedom fighters to defeat the former Soviet Union. There is no direct connection and none of the rifles have been used in terrorist actions.

Myth: .50 caliber shooters are terrorists in training

Fact: The average .50-caliber enthusiast is a successful businessman with an annual income of $50,000 or more – hardly a terrorist profile.

Myth: The Founding Fathers would have had no use for a .50 caliber rifle

Fact: Common guns of the early American republic were larger than .50 caliber, many measuring up to .812 caliber. The famous Kentucky Rifle (a name eventually given to most rifles made by German immigrants) was usually .60 to .75 caliber.

---

65 Dexter Ingram, “Facts and Figures About Terrorism”, Heritage Foundation, September 14, 2001 – some attacks had multiple methods


67 Barret Manufacturing letter on their web site available January 12, 2001. Confirmed during a visit by the BATF according to Dave Kopel in a National Review article “Guns and (Character) Assassination”, December 21, 2001

68 Congressional testimony of John Burtt, Fifty Caliber Shooters Policy Institute
Myth: .50s are capable of piercing airline fuel tanks from a mile away

Fact: Even the most expert long distance shooters cannot hit a stationary target under perfect, windless weather conditions at such distances (with one notable exception in Vietnam\textsuperscript{69}). An ill trained terrorist shooting a high-recoil .50 caliber rifle at a fast moving target – such as a 600 mph airplane – has no chance.

Myth: The bullet from this gun can penetrate concrete bunkers

Fact: "It takes 300 rounds to penetrate 2 meters of reinforced concrete at 100 meters."\textsuperscript{70} At $5 per round, it would cost a terrorist $1,500 in ammunition to shoot into one bunker.

Myth: The .50 caliber round is capable of piercing light armor at 4 miles\textsuperscript{71}

Fact: "At 35 meters distance [0.5\% of the mythical distance], a .50 round will go through one inch armor plate."\textsuperscript{72}

Fact: "It is exceedingly difficult to hit a target, even a large one, on one shot at anything over 1200 to 1500 yards by even highly trained individuals . . . The ammo is designed for a machine gun, and is generally only good for 2-3 minutes [fractions of a degree] of accuracy. That equates to a 30-45 inch circle at 1500 yards with a perfect rifle, no wind or other conditions and a trained shooter."\textsuperscript{73}

Myth: .50 caliber rifles can knock a helicopter from the sky

Fact: The terminal energy of a .50 caliber (6,000 ft-lbs) is not enough to knock a modern military aircraft from the sky unless it hits a critical component like a fuel line. Historical records exist showing this has been done with common, smaller caliber assault rifles such as AK-47s.

\textsuperscript{69} C. Sasser and C. Roberts, "One Shot, One Kill: American Combat Snipers in World War II, Korea, Vietnam, Beirut", referring to Marine Sniper Carlos Hathcock

\textsuperscript{70} "An Infantryman's Guide to Combat in Built-up Area" (MOUT) field manual 90-10-1, Chapter 8, US Army, May 1993

\textsuperscript{71} Senator Dianne Feinstein, Senate testimony, March 9, 2001

\textsuperscript{72} "An Infantryman's Guide to Combat in Built-up Area" (MOUT) field manual 90-10-1, Chapter 8, US Army, May 1993

\textsuperscript{73} Ibid
Myth: These guns are for snipers

Fact: Americans have been long distance target shooters since revolutionary times. According to writings of the time and using simple Kentucky long rifles and muskets, Americans were shooting small targets upwards of 150 yards. 74

Fact: “The use of it [.50 caliber] by the IRA in Northern Ireland to shoot both soldiers and police officers at very short range (never more than 275 yards) also gave the weapon a worldwide notoriety when the world's media slapped a ‘sniper’ label on the terrorists taking the shots. They obviously were not and soon ran scared when professional snipers were deployed to stop them.” 75


THE AVAILABILITY OF GUNS

Myth: The availability of guns causes crime

Fact: Though the number of firearms owned by private citizens has been increasing steadily since 1970, the overall rate of homicides and suicides has not risen.\textsuperscript{76} As the chart shows, there is no correlation between the availability of firearms, the homicide rate, or the suicide rate in America.

Fact: Five out of six gun-possessing felons obtained handguns from the secondary market and by theft, and "[the] criminal handgun market is overwhelmingly dominated by informal transactions and theft as mechanisms of supply."\textsuperscript{77}

Fact: The majority of handguns in possession of criminals are stolen, although not necessarily by the criminal in question.\textsuperscript{78}

Fact: Most violent crime is caused by a small minority of repeat offenders. One California study found that 3.8\% of a group of males born in 1956 were responsible for 55.5\% of all serious felonies.\textsuperscript{79} 75-80\% of murder arrestees have prior arrests for a violent (including non-fatal) felony or burglary. On average they have about four felony arrests and one felony conviction.

\textsuperscript{76} Prof. Gary Kleck, “Targeting Guns: Firearms and their control”, with supporting data from the FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1972 to 1995


\textsuperscript{78} Gary Kleck, Targeting Guns: Firearms and Their Control 97 (1997)

\textsuperscript{79} Robert Tillman, “Prevalence and Incidence of Arrest among Adult Males in California”, 1987
**Fact:** Half of all murders are committed by people on “conditional release” (i.e., parole or probation).\(^{80}\) 81% of all homicide defendants had an arrest record; 67% had a felony arrest record; 70% had a conviction record; and 54% had a felony conviction.\(^{81}\)

**Fact:** Per capita firearm ownership rates have risen at a steady pace since 1959 while crime rates have gone up and down depending on economics, drug trafficking innovations, and “get tough” legislation.\(^{82}\)

**Thoughts:** Criminals are not motivated by guns. They are motivated by opportunity. Attempts to reduce public access to firearms provide criminals more points of opportunity. It is little wonder that high-crime cities also tend to be those with the most restrictive gun control laws – which criminals tend to ignore.

**Myth:** Gun availability is what is causing school shootings

**Fact:** Schoolyard shootings have been occurring since at least 1974, so it is not a new phenomenon due to increases in gun ownership.\(^{83}\)

**Fact:** More than ½ of these terrorists start thinking about their assaults two or more weeks before the shooting, and ¾ planned-out their attacks.\(^{84}\)

**Thoughts:** In rural areas, guns are everywhere and children are taught to shoot at young ages. But these areas are almost devoid of schoolyard shootings. Clearly, availability is not the issue.

**Myth:** Handguns are 43 times more likely to kill a family member than a criminal

**Fact:** Of the 43 deaths reported in this flawed study, 37 (86%) were suicides. Other deaths involved criminal activity between the family members (drug deals gone bad).\(^{85}\)

**Fact:** Of the remaining deaths, the deceased family members include felons, drug dealers, violent spouses committing assault, and other criminal activities.\(^{86}\)

---


\(^{81}\) Brian Reaves, "Felony Defendants in Large Urban Counties, 1998", Bureau of Justice Statistics, November 2001

\(^{82}\) Ibid., based on a compilation of 85 separate surveys from 1959 through 1996


\(^{84}\) Ibid

\(^{85}\) Arthur L. Kellerman, Protection or Peril?: An Analysis of Firearm-Related Deaths in the Home, 314 New Eng. J. Med. 1557-60 1986. Kellerman admits that his study did “not include cases in which burglars or intruders are wounded or frightened away by the use or display of a firearm.” He also admitted his study did not look at situations in which intruders “purposely avoided a home known to be armed.” This is a classic case of a “study” conducted to achieve a desired result. In his critique of this “study”, Gary Kleck notes that the estimation of gun ownership rates were “inaccurate”, and that the total population came from a non-random selection of only two cities.
Fact: Only 0.1% (1 in a thousand) of the defensive uses of guns results in the death of the predator.\(^\text{87}\) This means you are much more likely to prevent a crime without bloodshed than hurt a family member.

Myth: High gun retail rates lead to more gun violence

Fact: There is no correlation between the number of gun retailers in any state, and the firearm homicide rate in that state. Indeed, California has the lowest number of gun retailers per capita, and yet has a firearm-related homicide rate that is 50% higher than New Jersey.\(^\text{88}\)

Fact: Most guns used in crimes are stolen. More than 27,000 lost or stolen firearms were reported by federal firearm licensees between 1998 and 1999.\(^\text{89}\)

Fact: A mere 14-16% of guns used in crimes were obtained from normal retail outlets by the criminal.\(^\text{90}\)\(^\text{91}\)

Myth: 58% of murder victims are killed by either relatives or acquaintances

Fact: “Acquaintance” murders are primarily drug buyers killing drug pushers, cabdrivers killed by “customers”, gang members killing other gang members, prostitutes killed by their johns, and so on.\(^\text{92}\) 71% of “victims” have prior criminal records.\(^\text{93}\)

\(^{86}\) Ibid

\(^{87}\) Dr. Gary Kleck, “Point Blank: Guns and Violence in America.” New York: Aldine de Gruyter. 1991

\(^{88}\) Homicide data from 1998 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics. Retailer counts by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

\(^{89}\) James Johnson, Treasury Undersecretary for enforcement, August 29, 2000, AP Wire Services

\(^{90}\) Bureau of Justice Statistics, “Firearm Use by Offenders”, November 2001


Fact: Only Chicago reports a precise breakdown on the nature of acquaintance killings: Between 1990 and 1995 only 17% of murder victims were family members, friends, neighbors and/or roommates. The rest were people with criminal records, killed by other criminals.

Fact: Over 66% of murderers have long histories of violence against not only their enemies and other "acquaintances," but also against their relatives.94

Fact: In 73% of these gun-defense incidents, the attacker was a stranger to the intended victim. (Defenses against a family member or intimate were rare -- well under 10%).95

Myth: Guns in poor communities cause many deaths

Fact: Lower income individuals are exposed to more violent crime than those from higher income households. Persons with household incomes of less than $35,000 per year live with significantly higher violent crime rates when compared with those who had household incomes of $35,000 or more per year.96 Thus, the higher incidence of gun violence is a reflection of the overall higher violent crime rate in poor communities, not the presence of guns.

Fact: Drugs play a huge role in violent crime, and are the leading cause of criminal firearm misuse (and lower income communities are areas of disproportionately high drug use and sales). In Oakland, California, where gun violence has newly erupted, 47% of murderers tested positive for drugs, 68% of victims tested positive for drugs and

96 U.S. Department of Justice, National Crime Victimization Survey 1998
85% of cases involved illegal activities. In San Francisco, victims with criminal histories tested positive for drugs 2.4 times more often than victims without criminal backgrounds.

CHILDREN AND GUNS

**Myth: 13 children are killed each day by guns**

**Fact: Adults included** – This “statistic” includes “children” up to age 19 or 24, depending on the source. Since most violent crime is committed by males ages 16-24, these numbers include adult gang members dying during criminal activity (incidentally, ‘child’ is defined by Webster as a person between birth and puberty, typically 13-14 years).

**Fact: Criminals are included** - 70% of these deaths are adults, age 17-20, involved in gang warfare. Half of the juveniles killed are involved in gang activity at the time of their deaths, often involved in drug related fight.

**Fact: Suicides and criminals included** - These numbers include criminal activities and suicides. As suicides make up more than ½ of all gun deaths, the number drops even further, to about 1.3 children a day.

**Fact: The federal government lists** the total firearm related deaths for children were 612, or 1.7 per day, in 1998. 154 were suicides.

**Fact: Over 13 teenagers die every day in automobiles, seven**

98 San Francisco Department of Public Health and San Francisco Injury Center, “Firearm-related Injury Incidents in 1999 – Annual Report”, February 2002
99 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1997
100 National Center for Health Statistics, “Rates of Homicide, Suicide, and Firearm-Related Death Among Children -- 26 Industrialized Countries”, 1997
102 CDC WISQARS Injury Mortality Reports, 1981-1998
behind the wheel.103

**Fact:** Four children die each day in the U.S. from parental neglect and abuse.104

**Fact:** For contrast: 1,917 children die each day from malaria105 and that 15 men, women, and children per day are murdered by a convicted felon in government supervised parole/probation programs.106

**Myth:** **Stricter gun control laws could have prevented the Columbine massacre**

**Fact:** Harris and Klebold violated close to 20 firearms laws in obtaining weapons. Would 21 laws really have made a difference? The two shotguns and rifle used by Harris and Klebold were purchased by a girlfriend who passed a background check, and the TEC-9 handgun used was already banned.

**Myth:** **Schoolyard shootings are an epidemic**

**Fact:** In states without “right to carry” laws, there have been 15 school shootings. In states that allow citizens to carry guns, there has been only one.107

**Fact:** The five school shootings that occurred during the '97-98 school year took place after the 1995 Gun-Free School Zones law was enacted, banning guns within 1,000 feet of a school.108

**Fact:** In Pearl, Mississippi, the assistant principal had formerly carried a firearm to school. When the 1995 "Gun-Free School Zones" law passed, he began locking his firearm in his car and parking at least a quarter-mile away from the school. When that shooting incident started, he ran to his car, got his gun, ran back, disarmed the shooter and held him on the ground until the police arrived. Had the law not been passed, the assistant principal might have prevented the two deaths and seven shooting-related injuries.

**Myth:** **More than 1,300 children commit suicide with guns**

**Fact:** This statistic includes “children” ages 18-19.

---

103 U.S. Department of Transportation’s Fatality Analysis Reporting System, 2001  
104 National Center on Child Abuse Prevention, 1998 Annual Survey  
105 Fact Sheet No 178, U.N. World Health Organization, 1998  
106 1998 US Bureau of Justice Statistics  
108 Ibid
**Fact:** Worldwide, the per capita suicide rate is fairly static (the suicide rate of the U.S. is lower than many industrial countries, including many where private gun ownership is banned). A certain fraction of the population will commit suicide regardless of the available tools.

**Fact:** The firearm and non-firearm rate of suicide among children age 15 and under was virtually unchanged in states that passed and maintained “safe storage” laws for four or more years.\(^{109}\)

**Fact:** People, including children, who are determined to commit suicide will find a way. There is a documented case of a man who killed himself by drilling a hole in his own skull using a power drill.\(^{110}\)

**Fact:** Banning country music might be more effective – one study shows 51% of the suicide differential can be traced to country music.\(^{111}\)

**Myth: Guns in America spark youth violence**

**Fact:** Non-firearm juvenile violent crime rate in the U.S. is twice that of 25 other industrialized western nations. The non-firearm infant-homicide rate in the U.S. is 3.5 times higher.\(^{112}\) Thus we have a violence problem – not a “gun” problem.

---


\(^{110}\) “Drilled Head Husband Dies in Hospital”, April 28, 2003, scotsman.com


Fact: Indeed, non-firearm related homicides for kids out-rank gun related homicides by kids almost 5-to-1\textsuperscript{113}

Myth: If it saves the life of one child, it is worth it

Fact: Firearms in private hands are used 2.5 million times each year to prevent crime, or 6,849 times every day\textsuperscript{114}, including rapes, aggravated assaults, and kidnapping. The number of innocent children protected by firearm owning parents far outweighs the number hurt by guns.

Fact: Most Americans (if they are firearm owners or not) believe that it is the way parents raise their kids that cause gun violence. Among non-firearm owners, 38% said it was parental neglect that causes youth violence, while only 28% thought it was due to the availability of guns.\textsuperscript{115} They may be right as most homicides of kids under age five are by their own parents. Mothers killed 31% and another 31% were killed by fathers.\textsuperscript{116}

Myth: Trigger locks will keep children from accidentally shooting themselves

Fact: 31 of 32 models of gun locks tested by the government’s Consumer Product Safety Commission could be opened without the key. According to their spokesperson, "We found you could open locks with paper clips, a pair of scissors or tweezers, or you could whack them on the table and they would open."\textsuperscript{117}

Fact: Schoolyard shooting deaths are not rising. In fact, they have been falling though most of the 1990s:\textsuperscript{118}

Fact: 85% percent of all the communities in America recorded no juvenile homicides in 1995, and 93.4% recorded one or no juvenile arrests for murder.\textsuperscript{119}

Fact: Only 10% of public schools reported one or more serious violent crimes during the 1996-97 school year.\textsuperscript{120}

Fact: In 1996, even though there were around 80 million people owning guns, there were only 44 accidental gun deaths for children under age 10, or about 0.0001%.\textsuperscript{121}

\textsuperscript{113} FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1997
\textsuperscript{114} Gary Kleck, Criminologist, Florida State University, 1997
\textsuperscript{115} Gallup/Women.com poll, May 2000
\textsuperscript{116} FBI, Supplementary Homicide Reports, 1976-98
\textsuperscript{117} Washington Post, Feb 7, 2001, Page A01
\textsuperscript{118} National Center for Education Statistics’ Violence and Discipline Problems in U.S. Public Schools, 1996-97
\textsuperscript{120} Department of Education "Principal/School Disciplinarian Survey on School Violence", March, 2000
\textsuperscript{121} Prof. John Lott, CBS News web site, March 20, 2000
Fact: California has a trigger lock law and saw a 12% increase in fatal firearm accidents in 1994. Texas doesn't have one and experienced a 28% decrease in the same year.¹²² However, trigger-locks do render guns inaccessible for self-defense.

Fact: Children as young as seven (7) years old have demonstrated that they can pick or break trigger locks, or operate a gun with a trigger lock in place.¹²³ Over half of non-criminal firearm deaths for children over age seven are suicides, so trigger locks are unlikely to reduce these deaths.

Fact: If criminals are deterred from attacking victims because of the fear that people might be able to defend themselves, gunlocks may in turn reduce the cost of criminals committing crime, and thus increase crime. This problem is exacerbated because many mechanical locks (such as barrel or trigger locks) also require that the gun be stored unloaded.

**Myth: More children are hurt with guns than by any means**

Fact: Less than 2% of all unintentional injury deaths for children in the U.S. between ages 0-14 are from firearms.¹²⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of injury</th>
<th>% of children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Automobile</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drowning</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fires, burns</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other causes</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffocation by ingested object</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisoning by solids, liquids</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National Center for Health Statistics, 1995

Fact: The Center for Disease Control, a federal agency, agrees. According to them, in 1998, kids 0-14 years died from the following causes in the U.S. ¹²⁵

Fact: Children are 12 times more likely to die in an automobile accident than from gun-related homicides or legal interventions (being shot by a cop, for example) if they are age 0-14. For the group 0-24 years old (which bends the definition of “child” quite a bit), the rate is still 8.6 times higher for cars.¹²⁶

Fact: In 2001, there were only 72 accidental firearm deaths for children under age 15, compared with over 2,100 kids that drowned (29 times as many drowning deaths as firearm deaths).¹²⁷

¹²² National Center for Health Statistics, 1995
¹²⁴ National Safety Council, “Injury Facts”, 1999 – figures rounded for ease of display
¹²⁶ 1997 National Center for Health Statistics National Vital Statistics Report
¹²⁷ Center for Disease Control, 20 Leading Causes of Unintentional Injury Deaths, United States, 2001, All Races, Both Sexes, Ages: 1-14
**Fact:** Accidental firearm injuries for children and adolescents dropped 37% from 1993 to 1997, with the fastest drop – a 64% reduction – being for children.128

**Fact:** Boys who own legal firearms have much lower rates of delinquency and drug use and are even less delinquent than non-owners of guns.129

**Fact:** The *non-gun* homicide rate for children in the U.S. is more than twice as high than other western countries. And eight times as many children die from *non-gun* violent acts than from gun crimes.130 This indicates that the problem is violence, not guns.

**Fact:** Fatal gun accidents for children ages 0-14 declined by almost 46% from 1975 to 1995, and 60% for all ages – all while the number of guns per capita increased by almost 40%.

**Fact:** 82% of homicides to children age 13 and under were committed *without* a gun.131

**Myth:** *Children should be kept away from guns for their own safety*

**Fact:** 0% of kids that get guns from their parents commit gun-related crimes while 21% of those that get them illegally do.132

**Fact:** Almost twice as many kids (24%) commit any type of street crime if they get guns illegally, as opposed to kids given guns by their parents and taught the proper way to use them (14%).133

**Fact:** Almost three times as many kids (41%) take drugs if they also obtain guns illegally, as compared to kids given guns by their parents (13%).

**Fact:** In the 1950’s, kids routinely played cops and robbers, had toy guns, were given BB rifles and small caliber hunting rifles before puberty. Yet the homicide rate in the 1950’s was almost half of that in the 1980’s.134

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% of children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle</td>
<td>4,550</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drowning</td>
<td>2,102</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire/burn</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffocation</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian, Other</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Land Transport</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisoning</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural/ Environment</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Firearm</strong></td>
<td><strong>72</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.6%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Transport</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Struck by or Against</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Spec., classifiable</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Center for Disease Control, 20 Leading Causes of Unintentional Injury Deaths, United States, 2001, All Races, Both Sexes, Ages: 1-14

---

128 “Firearms Injury Surveillance Study”, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, December 2001
130 Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, “Kids and Guns”, 2000
131 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1997
132 U.S. Justice Department, “Urban Delinquency and Substance Abuse”, 2000
133 Ibid
LIcensing and Registration

Myth: Other countries register guns to fight crime
Fact: Most of these laws were in fact enacted in the post World War I period to prevent civil uprisings as had occurred in Russia. A report of “Committee on the Control of Firearms”, written by the British Home Office officials in 1918 was the basis for registration in the U.K., Australia, Canada, and New Zealand.135

Myth: Gun registration works
Fact: Not in New Zealand. They repealed their gun registration law in the 1980s after police acknowledged its worthlessness.

Fact: Not in Australia. “It seems just to be an elaborate system of arithmetic with no tangible aim. Probably, and with the best of intentions, it may have been thought, that if it were known what firearms each individual in Victoria owned, some form of control may be exercised, and those who were guilty of criminal misuse could be readily identified. This is a fallacy, and has been proven not to be the case.”136 And this costs the Australian taxpayers over $200 million annually.137

Fact: Not in Canada. More than 20,000 Canadian gun-owners have publicly refused to register their firearms. Many others are silently ignoring the law. The provincial governments of Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba have dumped both the administration and the enforcement of all federal gun-control laws right back into Ottawa’s lap, throwing the Canadian government into a paper civil war. And all at a cost over 43,000% times the original projected cost138 (the original cost was estimated at 5% of all police expenditures in Canada139). "The gun registry as it sits right now is causing law abiding citizens to register their guns but it does nothing to take one illegal gun off the street or to increase any type of penalty for anybody that violates any part of the legislation," according to Al Koenig, President, Calgary Police Association.140 "We have an ongoing gun crisis, including firearms-related homicides lately in Toronto, and a law registering firearms has neither deterred these crimes nor helped us solve any of them", according to Toronto police Chief Julian Fantino.141 The system is so bad that five

135 Steven W. Kendrick, “Response to Philip Alpers’ submission to the California State Assembly Select Committee on Gun Violence”, January 2000
136 Chief Inspector Newgreen, Registrar of Firearms for the State of Victoria, Registration Firearms System CRB File 39-1-1385/84
140 Calgary Herald, September 1, 2000
141 “Opponents increase pressure to halt Canada’s gun control program”, Associated Press, Jan 3, 2002
Canadian provinces (B.C. joins Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, Nova Scotia and Ontario) are refusing to prosecute firearm owners that fail to register.  

**Fact:** Not in Germany. The Federal Republic of Germany began comprehensive gun registration in 1972. The government estimated between 17,000,000 and 20,000,000 guns were to be registered, and in fact only 3,200,000 surfaced, leaving some 80% unaccounted for.  

**Fact:** Not in Boston, Cleveland or California. These cities and states require registration of “assault weapons”. The compliance rate in Boston and Cleveland is about 1%. In California, it is about 10%.  

**Fact:** Criminals don’t register their guns.  

**Myth:** Gun registration will help police find suspects  

**Fact:** There is registration in Hawaii, Chicago, and Washington D.C. Yet there has not been even a single case where the laws have been instrumental in identifying someone who has committed a crime.  

**Myth:** Registration does not lead to confiscation  

**Fact:** It did in Canada. The handgun registration law of 1934 is the source being used to confiscate (without compensation) over ½ of the handguns in 2001.  

**Fact:** It did in Germany. The 1928 Law on Firearms and Ammunition (before the Nazis came to power) required all firearms to be registered. When Hitler came to power, the existing lists were used for confiscating weapons.  

**Fact:** It did in Australia. In 1996, the Australian government confiscated over 660,000 previously legal weapons from their citizens.  

**Fact:** It did in California. The 1989 Roberti-Roos Assault Weapons Control Act required registration. Due to changing definitions of “assault weapons”, many legal firearms are now being confiscated by the California government.  

**Fact:** It did in New York City. In 1967, New York City passed an ordinance requiring a citizen to obtain a permit to own a rifle or shotgun, which would then be registered. In 1991, the city passed a ban on the private possession of some semi-automatic rifles and shotguns and “registered” owners were told that those firearms had to be

---

142 “Victoria won’t enforce firearms act”, Vancouver Sun, June 06, 2003  
143 Ted Drane, “Why Gun Registration will Fail”  
146 Dr. Paul Gallant and Dr. Joanne Eisen, “Civil Disobedience In Canada: It Just Happened To Be Guns”, Idaho Observer, August 2000
surrendered, rendered inoperable, or taken out of the city.

**Fact:** It did in Bermuda, Cuba, Greece, Ireland, Jamaica, and Soviet Georgia as well.

**Myth: Licensing will keep bad people from obtaining or using guns**

**Fact:** Not in Canada. The firearm homicide rate in Canada is virtually unchanged from before and after gun registration (151 in 1998 and 149 in 2002).\(^ {147} \)

**Fact:** In New York State alone, approximately 100,000 persons are convicted of unlicensed operation of a motor vehicle each year, and this is probably a small proportion of the actual number of people who drive without a valid license.\(^ {148} \) Given the poor performance of the Federal government in prosecuting felons that were identified by the Instant Background Check trying to buy firearms, there is little to support this claim.

**Fact:** As long as the unlicensed purchaser is never caught with the handgun, the unlawful sale will go unnoticed. The risk of detection is negligible. If the unlicensed handgun owner is arrested, he could claim that he did not need a license because he had owned this handgun before licensing went into effect.\(^ {149} \)

**Fact:** Currently, federal prosecutors do not eagerly accept for prosecution felon-in-possession cases unless the felon is a hardened criminal who represents a threat to the public.\(^ {150} \)

**Fact:** According to the Supreme Court, criminals do not have to obtain licenses or register their weapons, as that would be an act of self-incrimination.\(^ {151} \)

**Fact:** Prohibition (which started as a ‘moderation’ movement) didn’t keep people from drinking. Instead it turned millions of otherwise honest and sober citizens into overnight criminals.

**Fact:** Most police do not see the benefit. “It is my belief that [licensing and registration] significantly misses the mark because it diverts our attention from what should be our common goal: holding the true criminals accountable for the crimes they commit and getting them off the street.”\(^ {152} \)

\(^ {147} \) Statistics Canada, Oct 1, 2003

\(^ {148} \) Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Northwestern University School of Law, 1998

\(^ {149} \) Ibid


\(^ {151} \) Haynes vs. U.S. 390 U.S. 85 1968

\(^ {152} \) Bob Brooks, Ventura County Sheriff, “When ‘Gun Control’ costs lives”, Firing Line, September 2001
ACCIDENTAL DEATHS

Myth: Accidental gun fatalities are a serious problem

Fact: Firearm misuse causes only a small number of accidental deaths in the U.S.\textsuperscript{153}

For example, compared to accidental death from firearms, you are:

- Four times more likely to burn to death or drown
- 17 times more likely to be poisoned
- 19 times more likely to fall
- And 53 times more likely to die in an automobile accident

Fact: In 2001, there were only 65 accidental gun deaths for children under age 13. About 11 times as many children die from drowning.\textsuperscript{154}

Fact: In 1993, there were 1,334 drownings and 528 firearm-related accidental deaths from ages 0-19. Firearms outnumber pools by a factor of over 30:1. Thus, the risk of drowning in a pool is nearly 100 times higher than from a firearm-related accident for everyone, and nearly 500 times for ages 0-5.\textsuperscript{155}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{U.S. Accidental Death Rates}
\caption{U.S. Accidental Death Rates}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{153} 2001, Center for Disease Control, WISQARS

\textsuperscript{154} Ibid

\textsuperscript{155} National Center for Health Statistics, and the National Spa and Pool Institute
Fact: Medical mistakes kill 400,000 people per year — about one fully loaded jumbo jet crash per day — or about 286 times the rate of all accidental firearm deaths. This translates into 1 in 6 doctors causing an accidental death, and 1 in 56,666 gun owners doing the same.

Fact: Around 2,000 patients each year — six per day — are accidentally killed or injured in hospitals by registered nurses.

Myth: Innocent bystanders are often killed by guns
Fact: Less than 1% of all gun homicides involve innocent bystanders.

Myth: Citizens are too incompetent to use guns for protection
Fact: About 11% of police shootings kill an innocent person - about 2% of shootings by citizens kill an innocent person. The odds of a defensive gun user killing an innocent person are less than 1 in 26,000. And that is with citizens using guns to prevent crimes almost 2,500,000 times every year.

Myth: Gun accidents are flooding emergency rooms
Fact: The rate of gun accidents is so low the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission doesn't even mention them in their annual safety reports.

---

156 Medical death statistics from Dr. David Lawrence, CEO Kaiser Permanente. Gun deaths are for 1993, CDC report.

157 Chicago Tribune report, Sept 10, 2000


Myth: "Junk" guns are dangerous and should be banned

Fact: In the history of the state of California, not one lawsuit against a gun maker had been filed (until 2003) based on a weapon being defective or poorly designed.160

Myth: Guns should be made to conform to product liability laws

Fact: Guns are already covered under product liability laws. If you have a defective gun that does not operate properly, you can sue the gun maker.

160 California Trial Lawyers Association, 1998
GOVERNMENT, GUN LAWS, AND SOCIAL COSTS

Myth: Gun control reduces crime

Fact: The U.S. government “found insufficient evidence to determine the effectiveness of any of the firearms laws or combinations of laws reviewed on violent outcomes.”

Fact: Violent crime appears to be encouraged by gun control. Most gun control laws in the United States have been written since 1968, yet the murder rate has risen during that time.

Fact: In 1976, Washington, D.C. enacted one of the most restrictive gun control laws in the nation. Since then, the city’s murder rate has risen 134 percent while the national murder rate has dropped 2 percent.

Fact: Among the 15 states with the highest homicide rates, 10 have restrictive or very restrictive gun laws.

Fact: Maryland claims to have the toughest gun control laws in the nation and ranks #1 in robberies and #4 in both violent crime and murder.

Fact: 20% of U.S. homicides occur in four cities with just six percent of the population – New York, Chicago, Detroit, and Washington, D.C. – and each has a virtual prohibition on private handguns.

---

161 CDC, Task Force on Community Preventive Services, “First Reports Evaluating the Effectiveness of Strategies for Preventing Violence: Firearms Laws”, Oct 3, 2003 – a systematic review of 51 studies that evaluated the effects of selected firearms laws on violence


163 Dr. Gary Kleck, University of Florida using FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1997

164 Ibid

165 FBI Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) for 2000, p. 79, Table 5, "Index of Crime by State"

166 Ibid
Fact: The landmark federal Gun Control Act of 1968, banning most interstate gun sales, had no discernible impact on the criminal acquisition of guns from other states.167

Fact: Washington, D.C.'s 1977 ban on the ownership of handguns (except those already registered in the District) was not linked to any reduction in gun crime in the nation's capital.168

Fact: New York has one of the most restrictive gun laws in the nation – and 20% of the armed robberies.169

Fact: Maryland has some of the strictest gun control laws and has the highest robbery rate in the country, and is 4th in violent crime and homicide. The robbery rate is 70% more than the national average.170 These numbers are likely low because one of their more violent cities, Baltimore, failed to report their crime levels.

Fact: There are more than 22,000171 gun laws at the city, county, state, and federal level. If gun control worked, then we should be free of crime.

Myth: Guns should be registered and licensed like cars

Fact: You do not need a license to buy a car. You can buy as many as you want and drive them all you like on your own property without a license.

Fact: Cars are registered because they are (a) a source of tax revenue, (b) the object of fraud in some transactions, and (c) a high theft object. Thus we ask the government to track these.

Fact: There is no constitutionally guaranteed right to keep and bear automobiles, and thus they are subject to greater regulation than guns.

Fact: There are more guns in the U.S. than cars (228,000,000 guns and 207,754,000 automobiles). Yet you are 31 times more likely to be accidentally killed by a car than a gun according to the National Safety Council172 . . . despite cars having been registered and licensed for almost 100 years.

167 "Under the Gun", Wright, Rossi, Daly, University of Massachusetts, 1981
168 Ibid
169 Ibid
170 FBI Uniform Crime Reports, September 15, 2000
171 Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms estimate and reported via James Wright, Peter H. Rossi, Kathleen Daly, “Under the Gun: Weapons, Crime, and Violence in America”, 1983
Myth: The Brady Bill caused gun homicides to decrease

Fact: Both gun and non-gun murder rates fell during the same period, 1992 to 1997. In 1992, 68% of U.S. murders were committed with guns; in 1997, it was still 68%.\(^{173}\) Thus, the decreased gun homicide rate was part of an overall declining crime rate, not an effect of the Brady Bill.

Fact: Gun possession by criminals has risen in the Brady years – 18% of state prisoners (was 16% before Brady) and 15% for federal prisoners (was 12% before Brady) are caught with firearms.\(^{174}\)

Fact: The Brady law has so far failed to appreciably save lives.\(^{175}\)

Fact: Violent crime started falling in 1991, three years before passage of the Brady law. The Brady law did not apply in 18 states, yet violent crime in those states fell just as quickly.\(^{176}\)

Fact: A majority of Americans agree that the bill is worthless. 51% believe the act has been ineffective at reducing violent crime, and 56% believe it has had no impact on reducing the number of homicides in the U.S.\(^{177}\)

Myth: Gun laws are being enforced

Fact: During the Clinton administration, federal prosecutions of gun-related crimes dropped more than 44 percent.\(^{178}\)

Fact: Of the 3,353 prohibited individuals that obtained firearms, the Clinton administration only investigated 110 of them (3.3%).\(^{179}\)

Fact: Despite 536,000 prohibited buyers caught by the National Instant Background Check, only 6,700 people (1.25%) have been charged for these firearms violations. This includes 71% of the violations coming from convicted or indicted felons.\(^{180}\) None of these crimes were prosecuted by the Federal government in 1996, 1997, or 1998.\(^{181}\)

---

\(^{173}\) FBI Uniform Crime Reports for 1992 and 1997

\(^{174}\) Bureau of Justice Statistics, “Firearm Use by Offenders”, November 2001

\(^{175}\) Dr. Jens Ludwig, Dr. Philip J. Cook, Journal of the American Medical Association, August 2000


\(^{177}\) Portrait of America survey, August 2000

\(^{178}\) Transactional Records Access Clearinghouse (TRAC) at Syracuse University covering 1992 through 1998

\(^{179}\) General Accounting Office (GAO) 2000 audit of the National Instant Check System between 11/30/98 and 11/30/99

\(^{180}\) Bureau of Justice Statistics, Federal Firearm Offenders and Background Checks for Firearm Transfers, June 4, 2000

\(^{181}\) U.S. Justice Department statistics, 1999
Fact: In 1998, the government prosecuted just eight children for gun law violations.\textsuperscript{182} In that same year, there were only:

- 8 prosecutions for juvenile handgun possession.
- 6 prosecutions for handgun transfer to juveniles.
- 1 prosecution for Brady Law violations.

Fact: Some of the reasons listed for not prosecuting known gun criminals include “minimal federal interest” and “DOJ/U.S. Attorney policy”.\textsuperscript{183}

Fact: half of referrals concerning violent criminals were closed without investigation or prosecution.\textsuperscript{184}

Fact: The average sentence for a federal firearms violation dropped from 57 months to 46 months from 1996 to 1998.\textsuperscript{185}

Fact: 18-20 year olds commit over 23\% of all gun murders.\textsuperscript{186} None of these criminals are allowed by law to purchase a handgun, and the Federal government under Clinton rarely enforced this law.

Fact: Project Exile in Richmond, Virginia prosecutes felons caught with guns, and prosecutes them using Federal laws that require mandatory imprisonment. The first year result was a 33\% drop in homicides for the Richmond Metro area in a year where the national murder rate was climbing.\textsuperscript{187} This shows that enforcement works. And according to Andrew McBride of the Richmond Justice Department Office, these cases are as easy to prosecute as "picking change up off the street."

Myth: Federal gun crime prosecutions increased 25\%

Fact: 1992: 9,885 BATF referrals for federal firearm purchase violations
1998: 4,391 (56\% drop)
1999: 5,489 (fictitious "25\% increase")\textsuperscript{188}

Fact: 1992: 12,084 BATF referrals for all firearm law violations
1998: 5,620 (a 53\% drop)

\textsuperscript{182} Ibid
\textsuperscript{183} Bureau of Justice Statistics, Federal Firearm Offenders and Background Checks for Firearm Transfers, June 4, 2000
\textsuperscript{184} General Accounting Office report on the Implementation of NICS, February, 2000
\textsuperscript{185} Ibid
\textsuperscript{186} United States Treasury and Justice Department Report, 1999
\textsuperscript{187} FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1999
\textsuperscript{188} BATF, 1999
Myth: The social cost of gun violence is enormous

Fact: Because guns are used an estimated 2.5 million times per year to prevent crimes, the cost savings in personal losses, police work, and court and prison expenses vastly outweighs the cost of criminal gun violence and gun accidents. The net savings, under a worst-case scenario, is about $3.5 billion a year.\(^{189}\)

Fact: Guns are used 65 times more often to prevent a crime than to commit one.\(^{190}\)

Fact: The medical cost of gun violence is only 0.16% of America’s annual health care expenditures.\(^{191}\)

Fact: Drunken drivers killed 15,935 people in 1998\(^{192}\) while homicides with guns were 12,102 for the same year. Drunken drivers continue to kill people randomly despite a decade of increased strictness and social pressure against drunk drivers.

Myth: The social cost of gun violence is $20-100 billion

Fact: This “study”\(^{193}\) included the lifetime earnings of people that die from guns, not just the true social costs. This included lost incomes of criminals killed by law-abiding citizens, and costs associated with suicides, and the “emotional costs experienced by relatives and friends of gunshot victims, and the fear and general reduction in quality of life . . . including people who are not victimized”. If the same methodology were used to calculate the social savings from private gun ownership, we would see a benefit to society of half a trillion dollars, or 10% of the 1999 US Gross Domestic Product.

Myth: Gun “buy back” programs get guns off the streets

Fact: According to the federal government, gun ‘buybacks’ have “no effect”.\(^{194}\)

Fact: “Buy backs” remove no more than 2% of the firearms within a community. And the firearms that are removed do not resemble guns used in crimes. “There has never been any effect on crime results seen”.\(^{195}\)

Fact: Up to 62% of people trading in a firearm still have another at home, and 27% said they would or might buy another within a year.\(^{196}\)

\(^{189}\) Sterling Burnett, National Center for Policy Analysis, “Suing Gun Manufacturers: Hazardous to Our Health”, 1999

\(^{190}\) Taking Dr. Gary Kleck’s estimate of 2.5 million gun defenses each year, divided by the FBI estimates of crimes committed with a firearm.

\(^{191}\) Max W and Rice DP, “Shooting in the dark: estimating the cost of firearm injuries.” Health Affairs, 1993

\(^{192}\) Compiled by Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD)

\(^{193}\) “The Financial Costs of Gun Violence”, Linda Gunderson, Annals of Internal Medicine, September 21, 1999


\(^{195}\) Garen Wintemute, Violence Prevention Research Program, U.C., Davis, 1997

\(^{196}\) Jon Vernick, John Hopkins Center for Gun Policy and Research, Sacramento and St. Louis studies
Fact: More than 50% of the weapons bought via a gun buy-back program were over 15 years old, whereas almost half of firearms seized from juveniles are less than three years old.197

Fact: According to a variety of sources, the actual effect is that gun buy-back programs:

- Disarm future crime victims, creating new social costs
- Gives criminals an easy way to dispose of evidence
- Are turned in by those least likely to commit crimes (the elderly, women, etc.)
- Cheap guns are bought and sold back to the government for a profit
- Cause guns to be stolen and sold to the police, creating more crime
- Seldom return stolen guns to their rightful owners

Fact: "They do very little good. Guns arriving at buy backs are simply not the same guns that would otherwise have been used in crime. If you look at the people who are turning in firearms, they are consistently the least crime-prone [ed: least likely to commit crimes]: older people and women."198

Myth: Closing down “kitchen table” gun dealers will reduce guns on the street

Fact: 43% of gun dealers had no inventory and sold no guns at all. Congressional testimony documented that the large number of low-volume gun dealers is a direct result of BATF policy. The BATF once prosecuted gun collectors who sold as few as three guns per year at gun shows, claiming that they were unlicensed, and therefore illegal, gun dealers. To avoid such harassment, thousands of American gun collectors became licensed gun dealers. Now the BATF claims not to have the resources to audit the paperwork monster it created.

Fact: Reforms of the Federal Firearm Licensing program – mainly focused at small volume retailers and traders – produced no significant results in firearm crime rates.199

Myth: Only the government should have guns

Fact: Only if you want criminals to have them as well. Loose inventory controls are notorious in government agencies, as shown by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) that has “misplaced” 539 weapons, including a gas-grenade launcher and 39 automatic rifles or machine guns. Six guns were eventually linked to crimes (two guns had been used in armed robberies, one confiscated in a raid on a drug laboratory and two others during arrests. One was being held as evidence in a homicide.

197 District of Columbia buyback program, 1999
198 David Kennedy, Senior Researcher, Harvard University Kennedy School Program in Criminal Justice, in appearance on Fox News, November 22, 2000
199 Christopher Koper of Pennsylvania's Jerry Lee Center of Criminology, reported in Criminology & Public Policy, American Society of Criminology, March 2002
And in July of 2001, it was reported that the FBI lost 449 weapons, including machine guns.

Myth: “Safe storage” laws protect people

Fact: 15 states that passed “safe storage” laws saw 300 more murders, 3,860 more rapes, 24,650 more robberies, and over 25,000 more aggravated assaults in the first five years. On average, the annual costs borne by victims averaged over $2.6 billion as a result of lost productivity, out-of-pocket expenses, medical bills, and property losses. “The problem is, you see no decrease in either juvenile accidental gun deaths or suicides when such laws are enacted, but you do see an increase in crime rates.”

Fact: Only five American children under the age of 10 died of accidents involving handguns in 1997. Thus, the need for “safe storage” laws appears to be low.

Fact: In Merced California, an intruder stabbed three children to death with a pitchfork. The oldest child had been trained by her father in firearms use, but could not save her siblings from the attacker because the gun was locked away to comply with the state’s “safe storage” law.

200 Associated Press report, April 17, 2001
202 Ibid
203 Sierra Times and various wire services, September, 2000
CRIME AND GUNS

- 71% of gunshot victims had previous arrest records
- 64% had been convicted of a crime
- Each had an average of 11 prior arrests
- 63% of victims have criminal histories and 73% of the time they know their assailant (twice as often as victims without criminal histories)

*Most gun violence is between criminals, which should be the public policy focus.*

**Myth:** Guns are not a good deterrent to crime

**Fact:** Guns prevent an estimated 2.5 million crimes a year, or 6,849 every day. Often the gun is never fired and no blood (including the criminal's) is shed.

**Fact:** It seems to be slowing down property crime (especially burglaries). The chart shows the handgun supply in America (mainly in civilian hands) to the property crime rate.

**Fact:** Every day, 550 rapes, 1,100 murders, and 5,200 other violent crimes are prevented just by showing a gun. In less than 0.9% of the time is the gun ever actually fired.

**Fact:** 60% of convicted felons admitted that they avoided committing crimes when they knew the victim was armed. 40% of convicted felons admitted that they avoided committing crimes when they thought the victim might be armed.

---

204 Richard Lumb, Paul Friday, City of Charlotte Gunshot Study, Department of Criminal Justice, 1994
205 San Francisco Department of Public Health and San Francisco Injury Center, “Firearm-related Injury Incidents in 1999 – Annual Report”, February 2002
206 “Targeting Guns”, Dr. Gary Kleck, Criminologist, Florida State University, 1997
208 Ibid
209 Ibid
Fact: Felons report that they avoid entering houses where people are at home because they fear being shot.210

Fact: 59% of the burglaries in Britain, which has tough gun control laws, are “hot burglaries”.211 By contrast, the U.S., with laxer restrictions, has a “hot burglary” rate of only 13%.212

Fact: Washington D.C., has banned gun ownership and has a murder rate of 56.9 per 100,000. Across the river in Arlington, Virginia, gun ownership is not regulated, and the murder rate is a mere 1.6 per 100,000.213

Fact: 26% of all retail businesses report keeping a gun on the premises for crime control.214

Fact: In 1982, Kennesaw, GA passed a law requiring heads of households to keep at least one firearm in the house. The residential burglary rate dropped 89% the following year.215

Fact: A survey of felons revealed the following:216

- 74% of felons agreed that “one reason burglars avoid houses when people are at home is that they fear being shot during the crime.”
- 57% of felons polled agreed, "criminals are more worried about meeting an armed victim than they are about running into the police."

Myth: Guns are often used to commit violent crimes
Fact: 90% of all violent crimes in the U.S. do not involve firearms of any type.217

Fact: Even in crimes where the offender possessed a gun during the commission of the crime, 83% did not use or even threaten to use the gun.218

210 Ibid
211 A “hot burglary” is when the burglar enters a home while the residents are there
212 Dr. Gary Kleck, Criminologist, Florida State University (1997) and Kopel (1992 and 1999)
217 Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 1998
218 National Crime Victimization Survey, 1994, Bureau of Justice Statistics
Fact: Less than 1% of firearms will ever be used in the commission of a crime.\textsuperscript{219}

Fact: Two-thirds of the people who die each year from gunfire are criminals being shot by other criminals.\textsuperscript{220}

**Myth: High capacity, semi-automatics are preferred by criminals**

Fact: The use of semi-automatic handguns used in crimes is slightly less than the ratio of semi-automatic handguns owned by private citizens. An increase in style and capacity mimics the overall supply.\textsuperscript{221}

**Myth: Banning “Saturday Night Specials” reduces crime**

Fact: This was the conclusion of the Johns Hopkins University Center for Gun Policy and Research – and it is wrong. They studied firearm homicide rates from Maryland after passage of a Saturday Night Special ban in 1998. Seems the firearm homicide rate has gone up and stayed up, while the homicide rate declined over the rest of the country.\textsuperscript{222}

Fact: Even banning guns does not slow down criminals. In the U.K., where private ownership of firearms is practically forbidden, criminals have and use guns regularly, and even build their own. One enterprising fellow converted 170 starter’s pistols to functioning firearms and sold them to gangs. Hundreds of such underground gun factories have been established, contributing to a 35% jump in gun violence.\textsuperscript{223}

**Myth: Gun shows are supermarkets for criminals**

Fact: Only 0.7% of convicts bought their firearms at gun shows. 39.2% obtained them from illegal street dealers.\textsuperscript{224}

Fact: Less than 1% of “crime guns” were obtained at gun shows\textsuperscript{225}. This is an improvement from an earlier study that found 1.7% - 2% of guns used in criminal offenses were purchased at gun shows.\textsuperscript{226}

\textsuperscript{219} FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1994
\textsuperscript{220} Ibid
\textsuperscript{221} Gary Kleck, Targeting Guns, 1977
\textsuperscript{223} “Gun crime spreads ‘like a cancer’ across Britain”, The Guardian, Oct 5, 2003
\textsuperscript{224} Bureau of Justice Statistics, “Firearm Use by Offenders”, November 2001
\textsuperscript{225} Ibid
\textsuperscript{226} National Institute of Justice, the research arm of the U.S. Department of Justice. According to an NIJ study released in December 1997 "Homicide in Eight U.S. Cities"
Fact: Only 5% of metropolitan police departments believe that gun shows are a problem.\textsuperscript{227}

Fact: Only 3.5% of youthful offenders reported that they obtained their last handgun at a gun show.\textsuperscript{228}

Fact: 93% of guns used in crimes are obtained illegally (i.e., not at gun stores or gun shows).\textsuperscript{229}

Fact: At most 14% of all firearms traced in investigations were purchased at a gun show.\textsuperscript{230} But this includes just traced weapons, which overstates the acquisition rate.

Fact: Gun dealers are federally licensed, and must follow the rules for sales regardless of whether they are dealing from a storefront, or a gun show.\textsuperscript{231}

Myth: 25-50% of the vendors at most gun shows are “unlicensed dealers”

Fact: There is no such thing as an “unlicensed dealer”, except for people that buy and sell antique – known as curio – weapons.

Fact: This 25-50% figure can only be achieved if you include dealers not selling guns at these shows. These non-gun dealers include knife makers, ammunition dealers, accessories dealers, military artifact traders, clothing vendors, bumper sticker sellers, and hobbyists. In short, \textit{50% of the vendors at shows are not selling firearms at all!}

Myth: Criminals prefer “Saturday Night Specials”

Fact: “Saturday Night Specials” were used in less than 3% of crimes involving guns.\textsuperscript{232}

Fact: Fewer than 2% of all "Saturday Night Specials" made are used in crimes.

Myth: Prison isn’t the answer to crime control

Fact: From 1960-1980, per capita imprisonment for violent crimes fell from 738 to 227. In the same period, violent crime rates nationwide tripled.

Fact: Why does crime rise when criminals are released from prison early? Because they are very likely to commit more crimes very quickly. 62.5% were re-arrested for new felonies or serious misdemeanors within three years. Those early released felons

\textsuperscript{227} Center to Prevent Handgun Violence survey of 37 police departments in large cities, reported in a CPHV report titled “On the Front Line: Making Gun Interdiction Work”, February 1998

\textsuperscript{228} Timothy S. Bynum, Todd G. Beitzel, Tracy A. O’Connell & Sean P. Varano, “Patterns in Gun Acquisition and Use by Youthful Offenders in Michigan”, 1999

\textsuperscript{229} BATF, 1999

\textsuperscript{230} BATF, June 2000, covers only July 1996 through December 1998

\textsuperscript{231} BATF, 2000

\textsuperscript{232} FBI Uniform Crime Statistics, 1994
killed another 2,282 people.\textsuperscript{233}

**Fact:** 45% of state prisoners were, at the time they committed their offense, under conditional supervision in the community--either on probation or on parole.\textsuperscript{234} Why isn’t the government doing its job?

**Fact:** In 1991, 13,200 homicides were committed by felons on parole or probation, or about $\frac{1}{2}$ of the 1999 annual gun death totals.

**Fact:** The average annual social damage prevented by incarcerating a newly admitted New Jersey violent criminal is $1.6$ million. This is a far higher cost than the annual $25,000 expense of imprisoning a violent criminal.

**Myth: Waiting periods prevent rash crimes and lower violent crime rates**

**Fact:** The “time-to-crime” of a firearm ranges from one to 12 years, making it rare that a newly purchased firearm is used in a crime.\textsuperscript{235}

**Fact:** The national five-day waiting period under the Brady Bill had no impact on murder or robbery. In fact there was a slightly increased rate of rape and aggravated assault, indicating no effective suppression of certain violent crimes. Thus, for two crime categories, a possible effect was to delay law-abiding citizens from getting a gun for protection. The risks were greatest for crimes against women.\textsuperscript{236}

**Fact:** Comparing homicide rates in 18 states that had waiting periods and background checks before the Brady bill, with rates in the 32 states that had no comparable laws, the difference in change of homicide rates was “insignificant”.\textsuperscript{237}

**Myth: Gun makers are selling plastic guns that slip through metal detectors**

**Fact:** There is no such thing as a ‘plastic gun’. This myth started in 1980 when Glock introduced a handgun with a polymer frame. Most of a Glock is metal (83% by weight) and detectable in common metal and x-ray detectors. “[D]espite a relatively common impression to the contrary, there is no current non-metal firearm which is not reasonably detectable by present technology and methods in use at our airports today, nor to my knowledge is anyone on the threshold of developing such a firearm.”\textsuperscript{238}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{233} Department of Justice Recidivism, 1999
  \item \textsuperscript{234} US Bureau of Justice Statistics, 1991
  \item \textsuperscript{235} Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms as reported by Time Magazine, July 12, 2002
  \item \textsuperscript{236} Dr. John Lott Jr., University of Chicago School of Law, 1997
  \item \textsuperscript{237} Dr. Jens Ludwig, Dr. Philip J. Cook, Journal of the American Medical Association, August 2000
  \item \textsuperscript{238} Billie Vincent, FAA Director of Civil Aviation Security, House Subcommittee on Crime, May 15, 1986
\end{itemize}
Incidentally, Glock is one of the favorite handguns of police departments because it is lightweight, thanks to the polymer frame.

**Myth: Machine guns are favored by criminals**

**Fact:** In the drug-ridden Miami of 1980, fewer than 1% of all gun homicides were with machine guns.  

**Fact:** None of over 2,220 firearms recovered from crime scenes by the Minneapolis police in 1987-89 were machine guns.

**Fact:** 0.7% of seized guns in Detroit in 1991-92 were machine guns.

---

239 Miami Herald, August 23, 1984, based on figures from Dr. Joseph Davis, Dade County medical examiner

240 1994, Minnesota Medical Association Firearm Injury Prevention Task Force

241 J. Gayle Mericle, 1989, Unpublished report of the Metropolitan Area Narcotics Squad, Will and Grundy Counties
GUNS AND CRIME PREVENTION

Myth: Private ownership of guns is not effective in preventing crime

Fact: Every year, people in the United States use a gun to defend themselves against criminals an estimated 2,500,000 times — more than 6,500 people a day, or once every 13 seconds.242 Of these instances, 15.6% of the people using a firearm defensively stated that they "almost certainly" saved their lives by doing so.

Firearms are used 60 times more often to protect lives than to take lives.

Fact: In 83.5% (2,087,500) of these successful gun defenses, the attacker either threatened or used force first, proving that guns are very well suited for self-defense.

Fact: Of the 2,500,000 times citizens use guns to defend themselves, 92% merely brandish their gun or fire a warning shot to scare off their attackers.

Fact: Less than 8% of the time does a citizen wound his or her attacker, and in less than one in a thousand instances is the attacker killed.243

Fact: For every accidental death, suicide or homicide with a firearm, 10 lives are saved through defensive use.

Fact: When using guns in self-defense244:

- 83% of robbery victims were not injured
- 88% of assault victims were not hurt
- 76% of all self-defense use of guns never involve firing a single shot

Fact: After the implementation of Canada’s 1977 gun controls prohibiting handgun possession for protection, the “breaking and entering” crime rate rose 25%, surpassing the American rate.245

Myth: Only police should have guns

Fact: “. . .most criminals are more worried about meeting an armed victim than they are about running into the police.”246

Fact: 11% of police shootings kill an innocent person - about 2% of shootings by

---

242 Fall 1995, Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology
244 National Crime Victimization Survey, 1979-1985
citizens kill an innocent person.\textsuperscript{247}

**Fact:** Seems the police have trouble keeping them. Hundreds of firearms are missing from the FBI and 449 of them have been involved in crimes.\textsuperscript{248}

**Fact:** Anyone who saw the helplessness of the L.A. Police Department during the 1992 King Riots knows that they need guns to defend themselves.

**Myth:** You are more likely to be injured or killed using a gun for self-defense

**Fact:** You are far more likely to survive a violent assault if you defend yourself with a gun. In episodes where a robbery victim was injured, the injury/defense rates were:\textsuperscript{249}

- Resisting with a gun: 6%
- Did nothing at all: 25%
- Resisted with a knife: 40%
- Non-violent resistance: 45%

**Myth:** Guns are not effective in preventing crime against women

**Fact:** Of the 2,500,000 annual self-defense cases using guns, more than 7.7% (192,500) are by women defending themselves against sexual abuse.

**Fact:** When a woman was armed with a gun or knife, only 3% of rape attacks are completed, compared to 32% when unarmed.\textsuperscript{250}

**Fact:** The probability of serious injury from an attack is 2.5 times greater for women offering no resistance than for women resisting with a gun. Men also benefit from using a gun, but the benefits are smaller at 1.4 times more likely to receive a serious injury.\textsuperscript{251}

**Fact:** 28.5% of women have a gun in the house.\textsuperscript{252}

**Fact:** 41.7% of women either own or have rapid access to guns.\textsuperscript{253}

**Fact:** In 1966, the city of Orlando responded to a wave of sexual assaults by offering firearms training classes to women. The number of rapes dropped by nearly 90%.


\textsuperscript{248} ABC News, July 17, 2001

\textsuperscript{249} British Home Office – not a “pro-gun” organization by any means

\textsuperscript{250} U.S. Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Rape Victimization in 26 American Cities, 1979

\textsuperscript{251} Department of Justice’s National Crime Victimization Survey


\textsuperscript{253} Ibid
**GUNS IN OTHER COUNTRIES**

**Myth:** Countries with strict gun control have less crime

**Fact:** In America, we can demonstrate that private ownership of guns reduces crime, but from country to country there is no correlation between gun availability and the violent crime rate. Consider this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gun Availability</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Or, to use detailed data, we can contrast the per capita homicide rate with the per capita gun ownership rate between different industrialized countries. Doing so shows zero correlation between the availability of guns and the overall homicide rate.

**Fact:** Switzerland has extremely lenient gun control (more so than the U.S.)\(^{254}\), and has the third-lowest homicide rate of the top nine major European countries, and the same per capita rate as England and Wales.\(^{255}\)

**Fact:** Indeed, the Swiss basically have a military rifle in nearly every closest. "Everybody who has served in the army is allowed to keep their personal weapon, even after the end of their military service."\(^{256}\)

**Fact:** “We don’t have as many guns  [in Brazil] as the United States, but we use them more.”\(^{257}\)

Brazil has mandatory licensing,

---

\(^{254}\) In Switzerland, handguns are obtainable once a person obtains a simple police permit that is valid for three months. During that time the permit holder may buy as many handguns as he wishes, and purchases are generally not registered. For 43% of the population, there are no rules requiring a person carrying a loaded handgun to obtain any permission at all.


\(^{257}\) Rubem César Fernandes, executive secretary of Viva Rio, a nongovernmental agency that studies urban crime, Christian Science Monitor, “Chocolates for guns? Brazil targets gun violence”
registration, and maximum personal ownership quotas. It now bans any new sales to private citizens.

**Fact:** Many of the countries with the strictest gun control have the highest rates of violent crime. Australia and England, which have virtually banned gun ownership, have the highest rates of robbery, sexual assault, and assault with force of the top 17 industrialized countries.  

258 Dutch Ministry of Justice, Criminal Victimization in Seventeen Industrialized Countries, 2001
Myth: Britain has strict gun control and a low crime rate

Fact: Since gun banning has escalated in the UK, the rate of crime – especially violent crime – has risen.

Fact: Street robberies soared 28% in 2001. Violent crime was up 11%, murders up 4%, and rapes are up 14%. ²⁵⁹

Fact: Comparing crime rates between America and Britain is flawed. In America, a gun crime is recorded as a gun crime. In Britain, a crime is only recorded when there is a final disposition (a conviction). All unsolved gun crimes in Britain are not reported as gun crimes, grossly undercounting the amount of gun crime there. ²⁶⁰ To make matters worse, British law enforcement has been exposed for falsifying criminal reports to create falsely lower crime figures, in part to preserve tourism. ²⁶¹

Fact: A continuing parliamentary inquiry into the growing number of black market weapons has concluded that there are more than three million illegally held firearms in circulation - double the number believed to have been held 10 years ago - and that criminals are more willing than ever to use them. One in three criminals under the age of 25 possesses or has access to a firearm. ²⁶²

Fact: Handgun homicides in England and Wales reached an all-time high in 2000, years after a virtual ban on private handgun ownership. More than 3,000 crimes

²⁵⁹ British Home Office, reported by BBC news, July 12, 2002
²⁶⁰ Gallant, Hills, Kopel, “Fear in Britain”, Independence Institute, July 18, 2000
²⁶¹ “Crime Figures a Sham, Say Police “, Daily Telegraph, April 1, 1996
²⁶² Reported in The Guardian, September 3, 2000
Involving handguns were recorded in 1999-2000, including the 42 homicides, 310 cases of attempted murder, 2,561 robberies and 204 burglaries.\textsuperscript{263}

**Fact:** Handguns were used in 3,685 offences in 2000 compared with 2,648 in 1997, an increase of 40%.\textsuperscript{264} It is interesting to note:

- Of the 20 areas with the lowest number of legal firearms, 10 had an above average level of "gun crime."

- Of the 20 areas with the "highest" levels of legal guns, only 2 had armed crime levels above the average.

**Fact:** Between 1997 and 1999, there were 429 murders in London, the highest two-year figure for more than 10 years – nearly two-thirds of those involved firearms – in a country that has banned private firearm ownership.\textsuperscript{265}

**Fact:** Over the last century, the British crime rate was largely unchanged. In the late nineteenth century, the per capita homicide rate in Britain was between 1.0 and 1.5 per 100,000.\textsuperscript{266} In the late twentieth century, after a near ban on gun ownership, the homicide rate is around 1.4.\textsuperscript{267} This shows that the homicide rate does not vary with either the level of gun control or gun availability.

**Fact:** The U.K. has strict gun control and a rising homicide rate of 1.4 per 100,000. Switzerland has the highest per capita firearm ownership rate on the planet (all males age 20 to 42 are required to keep rifles or pistols at home) has a homicide rate of 1.2 per 100,000. And to date, there has never been a schoolyard massacre in Switzerland.\textsuperscript{268}

**Fact:** "[T]he scale of gun crime in the capital [London] has forced senior officers to set up a specialist unit to deal with . . . shootings."\textsuperscript{269}

**Myth: Japan has strict gun control and a less violent society**

**Fact:** In Japan, the murder rate is almost 1 per 100,000. In the U.S., there are about 3.2 murders per 100,000 people each year by weapons other than firearms.\textsuperscript{270} This

\textsuperscript{263} "42 killed by handguns last year ", The Times, January 10, 2001, reporting on statistics supplied by the British Home Office

\textsuperscript{264} "Illegal Firearms in the UK", Centre for Defense Studies at King's College in London, July 2001

\textsuperscript{265} Ibid

\textsuperscript{266} Clive Emsley, Crime and Society in England 1750-1900, at 36 (1987)


\textsuperscript{268} Ibid

\textsuperscript{269} Associated News Media, April 30, 2001

means that even if firearms in the U.S. could be eliminated, we would still have three times the murder rate of the Japanese. Japan’s murder rate may be low, but its suicide rate is over 20 per 100,000 people. Japanese are being murdered and committing suicide at a rate of about 21 per 100,000. In the U.S., our combined murder and suicide rate is about 21 also.

**Myth: Gun control in Australia is curbing crime**

**Fact:** Crime has been rising since a sweeping ban on private gun ownership. In the first two years after gun-owners were forced to surrender 640,381 personal firearms, government statistics show a dramatic increase in criminal activity.\(^{271}\) In 2001-2002, homicides were up another 20%.\(^{272}\)

From the inception of firearm confiscation to March 27, 2000, the numbers are:

- Gun murders up 19%
- Armed robbery up 69%
- Home invasions up 21%

The sad part is that in the 15 years before national gun confiscation:

- Firearm-related homicides dropped nearly 66%
- Firearm-related deaths fell 50%

**Fact:** Gun crimes are rising throughout Australia after guns were banned. In Sydney alone, robbery rates with guns rose 160% in 2001, more in the previous year.\(^{273}\)

---


\(^{273}\) The Sydney Morning Herald, “Costa targets armed robbers”, April 4, 2002
POLICE AND GUNS

Myth: Police favor gun control
Fact: 92.7% of law enforcement officials believe that citizens should be able to purchase firearms for self-defense and sporting purposes.\(^{274}\)

Fact: 65.8% believe there should be no gun rationing, such as ‘one gun per month’ schemes.

Fact: 97.9% of officers believe, that through illegal means, criminals are able to obtain any type of firearm.

Fact: "Gun control has not worked in Washington D.C. The only people who have guns are criminals. We have the strictest gun laws in the nation and one of the highest murder rates. It’s quicker to pull your Smith & Wesson than to dial 911 if you’re being robbed."\(^{275}\)

Myth: The police are our protection, and people don’t need guns
Fact: Tell that to 18,209 murder victims, 497,950 robbery victims, and 96,122 rape victims that the police could not help.\(^{276}\)

Fact: The courts have consistently ruled that the police do not have an obligation to protect individuals. In Warren v. District of Columbia Metropolitan Police Department, 444 A.2d 1 (D.C. App. 1981), the court stated: `[C]ourts have without exception concluded that when a municipality or other governmental entity undertakes to furnish police services, it assumes a duty only to the public at large and not to individual members of the community.' Well, except for politicians that receive taxpayer-financed bodyguards.

Fact: There are not enough police to protect everyone. Currently, there are about 150,000 police officers on duty at any one time.\(^{277}\)

- This is on-duty police. This includes desk clerks, command sergeants, etc. – far fewer than 150,000 cops are cruising your neighborhood.
- There are approximately 271,933,702 people living in the United States.\(^{278}\)
- Thus there is only one on-duty cop for every 1,813 citizens!

---

274 National Association of Chiefs of Police, 1999 Police Survey
275 Lt. Lowell Duckett, Special Assistant to DC Police Chief; President, Black Police Caucus, The Washington Post, March 22, 1996
276 1997 FBI Uniform Crime Statistics
277 US Justice Department, 1998
278 US Census Bureau, 1999 estimate
Fact: Former Florida Attorney General Jim Smith told Florida legislators that police responded to only 200,000 of 700,000 calls for help to Dade County authorities.

Fact: The United States Department of Justice found that, in 1989, there were 168,881 crimes of violence for which police had not responded within 1 hour.

Fact: 95% of the time police arrive too late to prevent a crime or arrest the suspect.\(^{279}\)

Fact: In over 90% of U.S. cities, technology does not give police dispatchers the location of a cellular telephone caller\(^ {280}\), making police protection nearly impossible for travelers.

Fact: 75% of protective/restraining orders are violated and police often won’t enforce them unless they witness the violation.\(^ {281}\)

**Myth: The supply of guns is a danger to law enforcement**

Fact: The courts kill cops by letting felons out of prison early. Of police killed in the line of duty:

- 70% are killed by criminals with prior arrest records
- 53% of these criminals have prior convictions
- 22% are on probation when the officer is killed

**Myth: “Cop Killer” bullets need to be banned**

Fact: KTW rounds, wrongly labeled as “cop killer” bullets, were designed by police officers\(^ {282}\), for use by police to penetrate hard targets (car windshields, etc.). KTWs have never been sold to the general public.\(^ {283}\)

**Myth: Teflon bullets are designed to penetrate police bullet-proof vests**

Fact: KTW rounds are Teflon coated to prevent heat build-up in a police officer’s gun barrel, not to pierce body armor.\(^ {284}\)

---

\(^{279}\) Witkin, Gordon, Guttman, Monika and Lenzy, Tracy. “This is 911 ... please hold.” U.S. News & World Report, June 17, 1998

\(^{280}\) Susan Bahr, “911 - hello? Helloooooo?”, America’s Network 103, April 1, 1999

\(^{281}\) Ellen Sorokin, “Anti-stalking laws usually are unable to protect targets.” Washington Times, April 16, 2000

\(^{282}\) Developed by Daniel Turcos (a police sergeant) and Donald Ward (Dr. Kopsch’s special investigator)

\(^{283}\) Mike Casey, “Cop Killer Bullets”, July 2000

\(^{284}\) Ibid
ASSORTED MYTHS

Myth: High capacity guns lead to more deadly shootings

Fact: Much of this myth comes from the fact that the general availability of high-capacity handguns briefly preceded the rise in the crack cocaine trade, which brought a new kind of violence in local drugs wars.285

Fact: The number of shots fired by criminals has not changed significantly even with the increased capacity of handguns and other firearms. Indeed, the number of shots from revolvers (all within 6-8 round capacity) and semi-automatics were about the same – 2.04 vs. 2.53.286 In a crime or gun battle, there is seldom time or need to shoot more.

Fact: Fatal criminal shootings declined from 4.3% to 3.3% from 1974 through 1995, when the increase in semi-automatics and large capacity handguns were rising at their fastest rate.287 Fatal shootings of police officers declined sharply from 1988 through 1993.288

Fact: Drug dealers tend to be “more deliberate in their efforts to kill their victims by shooting them multiple times”.289

Myth: The “powerful gun industry” stops all gun control legislation

Fact: The firearms industry is composed of ”small, marginally profitable companies,” with a combined revenue of $1.5 billion to $2 billion per year, making it politically ineffective.290

Fact: The total political contributions from firearm industry members, PACs, and employees was under $4.4 million in the 2002 election cycle, which made the industry the 64th ranked contributor. Compare that to $33 million from the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees. 291

285 Gary Kleck, Targeting Guns, 1997
288 Marianne Zawitz, “Firearm injury from crime”, 1996, Bureau of Justice Statistics
289 Webster, Champion, Gainer and Sykes, “Epidemiological changes in gunshot wounds in Washington D.C”, Archives of Surgery, 1992
290 New York Times, Mar. 18, 2000
291 OpenSecrets.org, May 2003
THE SECOND AMENDMENT

Justification clause: "A well regulated Militia being necessary to the security of a free State,"

Rights clause: "the right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed."

The justification clause does not modify, restrict, or deny the rights clause.  

Myth: The Second Amendment is a collective right, not an individual right

Fact: The Second Amendment was listed in a Supreme Court ruling as an individual right.

Fact: The Supreme Court specifically reaffirmed that the right to keep and bear arms did not belong to the government.

Fact: In 22 of the 27 instances where the Supreme Court mentions the Second Amendment, they quote the rights clause and not the justification clause.

Fact: Courts disagree. “We find that the history of the Second Amendment reinforces the plain meaning of its text, namely that it protects individual Americans in their right to keep and bear arms whether or not they are a member of a select militia or performing active military service or training” and “We reject the collective rights and sophisticated collective rights models for interpreting the Second Amendment”

Fact: Citizens agree. 62% believe the 2nd Amendment guarantees an individual right, while a mere 28% believe it protects the power of the states to form militias.

Fact: There are 23 state constitutions with RKBA clauses adopted between the Revolution and 1845, and 20 of them are explicitly individual in nature, only three have "for the common defense...." or other "collective rights" clauses.

Fact: James Madison, considered to be the author of the Bill of Rights, wrote that the Bill of Rights was "calculated to secure the personal rights of the people". He never excluded the Second Amendment from this statement.

292 Eugene Volokh, Prof. Law, UCLA
293 Dred Scott, Casey v. Planned Parenthood, U.S. v. Cruikshank and others
294 United States v. Miller
295 U.S. v. Emerson, 5th court of Appeals decision, November 2, 2001, No. 99-10331
296 Associated Television News Survey, August 1999, 1,007 likely voters
Fact: Patrick Henry commented on the Swiss militia model (still in use today) noting that they maintain their independence without "a mighty and splendid President" or a standing army.298

Fact: "The congress of the United States possesses no power to regulate, or interfere with the domestic concerns, or police of any state: it belongs not to them to establish any rules respecting the rights of property; nor will the constitution permit any prohibition of arms to the people; or of peaceable assemblies by them, for any purposes whatsoever, and in any number, whenever they may see occasion."299

Myth: The "militia" clause is to arm the National Guard

Fact: "Militia" is a Latin abstract noun, meaning "military service", not an "armed group", and that is the way the Latin-literate Founders used it. To the Romans, "military service" included law enforcement and disaster response. Today "militia" might be more meaningfully translated as "defense service", associated with a "defense duty", which attaches to individuals as much as to groups of them, organized or otherwise. When we are alone, we are all militias of one. In the broadest sense, militia is the exercise of civic virtue.300

Fact: The first half of the Second Amendment is called the "justification clause". Justification clauses appear in many state constitutions, and cover liberties including right to trial, freedom of the press, free speech, and more. Denying gun rights based on the justification clause means we would have to deny free speech rights on the same basis.301 See http://www.law.ucla.edu/faculty/volokh/beararms/testimon.htm

Fact: The origin of the phrase "a well regulated militia" comes from a 1698 treatise "A Discourse of Government with Relation to Militias" by Andrew Fletcher, in which the term "well regulated" was equated with "well-behaved" or "disciplined".302

Fact: "We have found no historical evidence that the Second Amendment was intended to convey militia power to the states, limit the federal government's power to maintain a standing army, or applies only to members of a select militia while on active duty. All of the evidence indicates that the Second Amendment, like other parts of the Bill of Rights, applies to and protects individual Americans."303

299 Tucker's Blackstone, Volume 1 Appendix Note D., 1803 – Tucker's comments provide a number of insights into the consensus for interpretation of the Constitution that prevailed shortly after its ratification, after the debates had settled down and the Constitution was put into practice.
301 Eugene Volokf, Prof. Law, UCLA
302 This document was widely published during the colonial and revolutionary periods, and was the basis for state and federal ‘bills of rights’.
303 U.S. v. Emerson, 5th court of Appeals decision, November 2, 2001, No. 99-10331
Fact: “The plain meaning of the right of the people to keep arms is that it is an individual, rather than a collective, right and is not limited to keeping arms while engaged in active military service or as a member of a select militia such as the National Guard . . .”

Fact: Before there was a Bill of Rights, most of the 13 original states had their own constitutions, and it is from these that the original Bill of Rights was distilled. The state constitutions of that time had many “right to keep and bear arms” clauses that clearly guaranteed an individual right. Some examples include:

Connecticut: Every citizen has a right to bear arms in defense of himself and the state.

Kentucky: [T]he right of the citizens to bear arms in defense of themselves and the State shall not be questioned.

Pennsylvania: That the people have a right to bear arms for the defense of themselves and the state; . . . The right of the citizens to bear arms in defense of themselves and the State shall not be questioned.

Rhode Island: The right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed.

Vermont: [T]he people have a right to bear arms for the defense of themselves and the State.

Myth: The Supreme Court ruled the Second Amendment is not an individual right

Fact: The Supreme Court has never been asked to decide this issue. All court rulings to date either mention the Second amendment in passing, or as a reference to other rights.

Fact: Of 300 decisions of the federal and state courts that have taken a position on the meaning of the Second Amendment or the state analogs to it, only 10 have claimed that the right to keep and bear arms is not an individual right. Many of the other decisions struck down gun control laws because they conflicted with the Second Amendment, such as State v. Nunn (Ga. 1846).

---

304 Ibid
Fact: In the Dred Scott case of 1856, the Supreme Court listed the protected rights of citizens and explicitly listed the right to keep and bear arms, and gave this right equal weight to the other freedoms enumerated in the constitution.

Myth: U.S. v. Miller said that the Second Amendment is not an individual right

Fact: The Miller case specifically held that specific types of guns might be protected by the Second Amendment. It depended on whether a gun had militia use, and the court wanted evidence presented confirming that citizens have a right to military style weapons. Since no evidence was taken at the trial level in lower courts, they remanded the case for a new trial. Specifically the court said:

"The signification attributed to the term Militia appears from the debates in the Convention, the history and legislation of Colonies and States, and the writings of approved commentators. These show plainly enough that the Militia comprised all males physically capable of acting in concert for the common defense. "A body of citizens enrolled for military discipline." And further, that ordinarily when called for service these men were expected to appear bearing arms supplied by themselves and of the kind in common use at the time."

"In the absence of any evidence tending to show that possession or use of a 'shotgun having a barrel of less than 18 inches in length' at this time has some reasonable relationship to the preservation or efficiency of a well-regulated militia, we cannot say that the Second Amendment guarantees the right to keep and bear such an instrument. Certainly it is not within judicial notice that this weapon is any part of the ordinary military equipment or that its use could contribute to the common defense."

Fact: Even the US government agreed. Here are some sentences from the brief filed by the government in the appeal to the Supreme Court:

"The Second Amendment does not grant to the people the right to keep and bear arms, but merely recognizes the prior existence of that right and prohibits its infringement by Congress."

"The "arms" referred to in the Second Amendment are, moreover, those which ordinarily are used for military or public defense purposes . . ."

"The Second Amendment does not confer upon the people the right to keep and bear arms; it is one of the provisions of the Constitution which, recognizing the prior existence of a certain right, declares that it shall not be infringed by Congress. Thus the right to keep and bear arms is not a right granted by the Constitution and therefore is not dependant upon that instrument for its source."
Fact: The federal 8th Court of Appeals holds that the Miller case protects an individual right to keep and bear arms. “Although an individual’s right to bear arms is constitutionally protected, see United States v. Miller . . .”\(^{306}\)

Fact: Federal courts reject the myth. “We conclude that Miller does not support the [government’s] collective rights or sophisticated collective rights approach to the Second Amendment.”\(^{307}\) They continue, “There is no evidence in the text of the Second Amendment, or any other part of the Constitution, that the words ‘we the people’ have a different connotation within the Second Amendment than when employed elsewhere . . .”

Summary of various court decisions concerning gun rights

Decisions that explicitly recognized that the Second Amendment guarantees an individual right to purchase, possess or carry firearms, and it limits the authority of both federal and state governments:

- **U.S. vs. Emerson, 5 Fed (1999),** confirmed an individual right requiring compelling government interest for regulation.
- **Nunn v. State, 1 Ga. 243, 250, 251 (1846)** (struck down a ban on sale of small, easily concealed handguns as violating Second Amendment);
- **State v. Chandler, 5 La.An. 489, 490, 491 (1850)** (upheld a ban on concealed carry, but acknowledged that open carry was protected by Second Amendment);
- **Smith v. State, 11 La.An. 633, 634 (1856)** (upheld a ban on concealed carry, but recognized as protected by Second Amendment "arms there spoken of are such as are borne by a people in war, or at least carried openly");
- **State v. Jumel, 13 La.An. 399, 400 (1858)** (upheld a ban on concealed carry, but acknowledged a Second Amendment right to carry openly);
- **Cockrum v. State, 24 Tex. 394, 401, 402 (1859)** (upheld an enhanced penalty for manslaughter with a Bowie knife, but acknowledged that the Second Amendment guaranteed an individual right to possess arms for collective overthrow of the government);
- **In Re Brickey, 8 Ida. 597, 70 Pac. 609, 101 Am.St.Rep. 215, 216 (1902)** (struck down a ban on open carry of a revolver in Lewiston, Idaho as violating both Second Amendment and Idaho Const. guarantee);
- **State v. Hart, 66 Ida. 217, 157 P.2d 72 (1945)** (upheld a ban on concealed carry as long as open carry was allowed based on both Second Amendment and Idaho Const. guarantee);
- **State v. Nickerson, 126 Mont. 157, 166 (1952)** (striking down a conviction for assault with a deadly weapon, acknowledging a right to carry based on Second Amendment and Montana Const. guarantee).

\(^{306}\) U.S. v. Hutzel, 8 Iowa, No. 99-3719

\(^{307}\) U.S. v. Emerson, 5th court of Appeals decision, November 2, 2001, No. 99-10331

DECISIONS THAT RECOGNIZED THE SECOND AMENDMENT GUARANTEES AN INDIVIDUAL RIGHT TO POSSESS OR CARRY FIREARMS, BUT ONLY LIMITING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S AUTHORITY:

• U.S. v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542, 552 (1876) (limiting use of the Enforcement Act of 1870 so that Klansmen could not be punished for mass murder and disarming of freedmen);
• State v. Workman, 35 W.Va. 367, 373 (1891) (upholding a ban on carry of various concealable arms);
• State v. Kerner, 181 N.C. 574, 107 S.E. 222 (1921) (overturning a ban on open carry of pistols based on North Carolina Const., but acknowledging Second Amendment protected individual right from federal laws).

DECISIONS IN WHICH THE SECOND AMENDMENT WAS ARGUED OR RAISED AS A LIMITATION ON STATE LAWS, AND IN WHICH THE COURT RULED THAT IT ONLY LIMITED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, TACITLY ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE RIGHT WAS INDIVIDUAL IN NATURE:

• Andrews v. State, 3 Heisk. (50 Tenn.) 165, 172, 173 (1871);
• Fife v. State, 31 Ark. 455, 25 Am.Rep. 556, 557, 558 (1876); State v. Hill, 53 Ga. 472, 473, 474 (1874);
• Dunne v. People, 94 Ill. 120, 140, 141 (1879); Presser v. Illinois, 116 U.S. 252, 265, 266 (1886) (upholding a ban on armed bodies marching through the streets);
• People v. Persce, 204 N.Y. 397, 403 (1912); In re Rameriz, 193 Cal. 633, 636, 226 P. 914 (1924) (upholding a ban on resident aliens possessing handguns).

DECISIONS IN WHICH THE SECOND AMENDMENT WAS IMPLIED TO GUARANTEE AN INDIVIDUAL RIGHT, THOUGH UNCLEAR AS TO WHETHER IT LIMITED ONLY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR STATES AS WELL, BECAUSE THE TYPE OF ARM IN QUESTION WASN'T PROTECTED:

• English v. State, 35 Tex. 473, 476, 477 (1872)
• State v. Duke, 42 Tex. 455, 458, 459 (1875) (upholding a ban on carrying of handguns, Bowie knives, sword-canes, spears, and brass knuckles);
• People v. Liss, 406 Ill. 419, 94 N.E.2d 320, 322, 323 (1950) (overturning a conviction for carrying a concealed handgun and acknowledging that the right in the Second Amendment was individual);
• Guida v. Dier, 84 Misc.2d 110, 375 N.Y.S.2d 827, 828 (1975) (denying that "concealable hand weapons" were protected by the Second Amendment, but acknowledging that an individual right protects other firearms).
**DECISIONS IN WHICH THE SECOND AMENDMENT HAS BEEN CLASSED WITH OTHER INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS, WITH NO INDICATION THAT IT WAS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL RIGHT:**


**DECISIONS THAT COULD HAVE BEEN VERY MUCH SHORTER IF THE COURT HAD SIMPLY DENIED THAT THE SECOND AMENDMENT PROTECTED AN INDIVIDUAL RIGHT:**

- U.S. v. Miller, 307 U.S. 174 (1939) (the Supreme Court upholding the National Firearms Act of 1934, after district judge released defendants on the grounds that it violated Second Amendment).
**GUN OWNERS AND PUBLIC OPINION**

**Myth: Gun owners are a tiny minority**

**Fact:** The Federal government estimated that there were over 65 million gun owners in the U.S., and more than 50% were handgun owners.\(^3\) This number is generally considered low due to the reluctance of many to admit to a government agency that they own a gun. Other estimates indicate that between 41% and 49% of U.S. households are gun-owning households.

**Fact:** 34% of Americans claim they owned a gun. 42% claimed they had one in the house (even if they were not the owner).\(^2\)

**Myth: People do not believe that the 2nd Amendment is an individual right**

**Fact:** A Zogby poll\(^3\) concluded that 75% of Americans believe the right to keep and bear arms is an individual right. ABC determined the rate to be 77%.

**Myth: Most Americans favor gun control**

**Fact:** Few "surveys" conducted in this country on the subject of gun control are unbiased. Professional survey designers have criticized both Harris and Gallup gun surveys for their construction – that the surveys have been designed to reach a desired conclusion.\(^4\)

**Fact:** Americans believe parents and popular culture are more responsible for violence in America than firearms.\(^5\)

**Fact:** Associated Press poll in April, 2000 showed 42% thought stricter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of Gun Violence</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way parents raise their children</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popular culture</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of guns</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^3\) Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 1997

\(^2\) Gallup/Women.com poll, May 2000

\(^3\) Zogby/SAF survey of 1,015 likely voters, June 2002

\(^4\) ABC News, May 14, 2002

\(^5\) Often these surveys use questions like “If it reduced crime, would you favor stronger gun control laws”. These questions are rephrased in headline to read "Americans demand gun control" while ignoring the leading goal of reducing crime. These surveys also fail to ask counter-balancing questions to prove/disprove any bias in questions. For example, a counter-balancing question might be “If it were shown that gun control laws were ineffective in preventing crime, would you favor enacting more gun control laws?”

\(^5\) Gallup Poll, May 2000 – this despite a question design which made “availability of guns” the most likely choice due to order ranking
enforcement was more likely to cut gun violence, while only 33% said enacting tougher
gun laws was a better approach.

**Fact:** A survey in April, 2000 by ABC News/Washington Post asked whether "passing
stricter gun control laws" or "stricter enforcement of existing laws" is the best way to
curb gun violence. Enforcement was preferred by 53 percent to 33 percent.

**Fact:** 58% percent of Americans believe better enforcement of existing laws "is a better
way to reduce handgun violence" than new gun control laws.\(^{314}\)

**Fact:** A recent and well-constructed survey by Time Magazine showed some interesting
results. From 33,202 adult Americans surveyed in 1998:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should the U.S. have stricter gun control laws?</td>
<td>6.73%</td>
<td>92.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you believe that allowing people to carry concealed weapons reduce crime?</td>
<td>92.22%</td>
<td>7.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you believe that U.S. cities should sue gun manufacturers to recoup money spent dealing with gun-related crime?</td>
<td>1.96%</td>
<td>98.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How would you rate the effectiveness of the Brady Bill and the “assault weapons” ban in preventing the illegal use and distribution of guns?</td>
<td>0.52%</td>
<td>Very effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.79%</td>
<td>Somewhat effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.19%</td>
<td>Somewhat ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87.27%</td>
<td>Not at all effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.23%</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fact:** A 1999 survey by CBS (hardly a pro gun organization) found these responses:

- Only 14% of Americans believe that gun control can prevent violence with guns.
- 56% of people said enforcement of existing laws is the better way to reduce violent
  crime than new gun control laws.
- Only 4% said gun control should be a top issue for the government.

**Fact:** According to an AOL.com poll in March 2000:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How can gun violence be effectively prevented?</th>
<th>People</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stricter gun control laws</td>
<td>10,841</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper enforcement of current gun control laws</td>
<td>13,587</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban on handguns</td>
<td>8,008</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricter punishment for crimes involving guns</td>
<td>21,596</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5,094</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>1,613</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{314}\) Portrait of America survey, August 2000
Fact: A CNN survey in the summer of 1999 asked if gun makers should be held liable for gun violence. Obviously not.

Fact: A 1999 survey by the Associated Press showed:
- Most Americans (49%) felt enforcing existing laws was the key to reducing violent crime.
- 52% felt that background checks did not help reduce the number of crimes committed with guns.

Fact: A 2000 Zogby telephone survey of 1,201 adults concluded, that by almost a two-to-one margin, Americans prefer enforcement of existing laws instead of new and tougher gun legislation to fight crime. The same poll found that 68% of the public disagrees with cities suing gun makers for the criminal misuse of guns.

Fact: A December 2000 Zogby poll of 1,028 American adults showed they felt enforcing current laws was the “best way to solve gun violence in America.”

Fact: A January 2001 Zogby “American Values” poll found that 66% of voters felt the U.S. should spend more money enforcing current laws including mandatory jail time for those who commit a crime with a handgun, while only 26% felt there should be more gun control laws including mandatory gun locks.'
FAMOUS GUN GRABBERS

Politicians

BILL CLINTON, FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

"Only the police should have handguns."

“When we got organized as a country, we wrote a fairly radical Constitution with a radical Bill of Rights, giving a radical amount of individual freedom to Americans. There’s too much personal freedom. When personal freedom’s being abused, you have to move to limit it.” 315

Fact: Wang Jun (son of the late Chinese President Wang Zhen) who is chairman of the China International Trade and Investment Company and President of Polytechnologies Corp., attended a White House coffee with Clinton in February 1996 and was granted a meeting with Commerce Secretary Ronald Brown the next day.

He also was connected to more than $600,000 in illegal campaign contributions to the Democrats, the report said. Polytechnologies is an arms-trading company indicted for trying to smuggle 2,000 Chinese AK-47 assault rifles into the United States and it is the largest of the corporate structures owned by the People’s Liberation Army. 316

V.I. LENIN

"One man with a gun can control 100 without one. ... Make mass searches and hold executions for found arms."

DIANNE FEINSTEIN, U.S. SENATOR FROM CALIFORNIA

"Banning guns addresses a fundamental right of all Americans to feel safe."317

" If I could have gotten 51 votes in the Senate of the United States for an outright ban, picking up every one of them: "Mr. and Mrs. America, turn ‘em all in,” I would have done it.”318

"The national guard fulfills the militia mentioned in the Second amendment. Citizens no longer need to protect the states or themselves."

315 MTV’s “Enough is Enough”, March 22, 1994
316 CNN May 24, 1999
317 Associated Press, November 18, 1993
318 CBS-TV’s “60 Minutes,” February 5, 1995
JOSEPH STALIN
"If the opposition disarms, well and good. If it refuses to disarm, we shall disarm it ourselves"

FRANK LAUTENBERG, U.S. SENATOR FROM NEW JERSEY
"We have other legislation that all of you are aware that I have been so active on, with my colleagues here, and that is to shut down the gun shows."

ADOLPH HITLER
"The most foolish mistake we could possibly make would be to allow the subject races to possess arms. History shows that all conquerors who have allowed their subject races to carry arms have prepared their own downfall by so doing."

HOWARD METZENBAUM, FORMER U.S. SENATOR
"No, we're not looking at how to control criminals ... we're talking about banning the AK-47 and semi-automatic guns."

CHARLES PASHAYAN, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM CALIFORNIA
"All of this has to be understood as part of a process leading ultimately to a treaty that will give an international body power over our domestic laws."

PETE STARK, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM CALIFORNIA
"If a bill to ban handguns came to the house floor, I would vote for it."

WILLIAM CLAY, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM MISSOURI
"...we need much stricter gun control, and eventually should bar the ownership of handguns"

JOSEPH BIDEN, U.S. SENATOR FROM DELAWARE
"Banning guns is an idea whose time has come."

JOHN CHAFEE, FORMER U.S. SENATOR FROM RHODE ISLAND
“I shortly will introduce legislation banning the sale, manufacture or possession of handguns (with exceptions for law enforcement and licensed target clubs). . . . It is time to act. We cannot go on like this. Ban them!"

319 Press conference on March 1, 2000
321 2001 United Nations Conference on Small Arms
322 Town Hall Meeting, June 1999, Fremont California
**JAN SCHAKOWSKY, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM ILLINOIS**

“I believe.....this is my final word......I believe that I’m supporting the Constitution of the United States which does not give the right for any individual to own a handgun.”

---

**MAJOR OWENS, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM NEW YORK**

“We have to start with a ban on the manufacturing and import of handguns. From there we register the guns which are currently owned, and follow that with additional bans and acquisitions of handguns and rifles with no sporting purpose.”

---

**BOBBY RUSH, U.S. REPRESENTATIVE FROM ILLINOIS**

"My staff and I right now are working on a comprehensive gun-control bill. We don't have all the details, but for instance, regulating the sale and purchase of bullets. Ultimately, I would like to see the manufacture and possession of handguns banned except for military and police use. But that's the endgame. And in the meantime, there are some specific things that we can do with legislation.”

---

**ACTS OF VIOLENCE COMMITTED BY MEMBERS OF GUN CONTROL ORGANIZATIONS**

- Barbara Graham, speaker at the “Million Mom March” in 2000, was convicted of shooting and paralyzing for life a man she mistook as one who had killed her son.326
- In Fort Collins, a woman who opposes the right of self-defense struck a member of the Tyranny Response Team with a clipboard.327
- At a rally in Boulder early in 2000, Robert Howell, vice president of the anti-gun Boulder Bell Campaign, attacked Shariar Ghalam, bloodying his nose. (Ghalam was carrying a concealed handgun but never drew it, not believing his life was in danger.)
- In the summer of 2000, supporters of the anti-gun Million Mom March stole supplies from the Second Amendment Sisters and vandalized SAS property.328
- Ari Armstrong, a pro-civil rights activist in Colorado, received threatening telephone calls allegedly from members of S.A.F.E (an anti-gun group) after Ari appeared on television promoting firearm freedoms.329

---

323 In View of Handguns’ Effects, There’s Only One Answer: A Ban, Minneapolis Star Tribune, June 15, 1992, at 13A
324 Tape recorded on June 25, 2000 by Matt Beauchamp at the Chicago Gay Pride Parade
325 Chicago Tribune, December 5, 1999
328 WorldNetDaily, “Million Mom Marchers ransack pro-gun display”, August 1, 2000
Anti-freedom political activists

THE COALITION TO STOP GUN VIOLENCE

"It is our aim to ban the manufacture and sale of handguns to private individuals."330

“We will never fully solve our nation's horrific problem of gun violence unless we ban the manufacture and sale of handguns and semiautomatic assault weapons.”331

NELSON T. “PETE” SHIELDS, CHAIRMAN EMERITUS, HANDGUN CONTROL, INC.332

"... the final problem is to make the possession of all handguns and all handgun ammunition except for the military, policemen, licensed security guards, licensed sporting clubs, and licensed gun collectors -- totally illegal."333

"Yes, I'm for an outright ban (on handguns)."334

"We'll take one step at a time, and the first is necessarily - given the political realities - very modest. We'll have to start working again to strengthen the law, and then again to strengthen the next law and again and again. Our ultimate goal, total control of handguns, is going to take time. The first problem is to slow down production and sales. Next is to get registration. The final problem is to make possession of all handguns and ammunition (with a few exceptions) totally illegal."335

SARAH BRADY, CHAIRPERSON FOR HANDGUN CONTROL, INC. (NOW THE BRADY CAMPAIGN)

"...I don't believe gun owners have rights."336

"We would like to see, in the future, what we will probably call needs-based licensing of all weapons. ...Where it would make it much more difficult for anybody to be able to purchase handguns...."337

"To me, the only reason for guns in civilian hands is for sporting purposes."338
JIM BRADY

"[Handguns] For target shooting, that’s okay. Get a license and go to the range. For defense of the home, that’s why we have police."339

ELLIOT CORBETT, SECRETARY, NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR A RESPONSIBLE FIREARMS POLICY

"Handguns should be outlawed."

BERNARD PARKS, CHIEF OF POLICE, L.A. CALIFORNIA

``We would get rid of assault weapons. There would not be an assault weapon in the United States, whether it’s for a show or someone having it in a collection."340

JOSH SUGARMANN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE VIOLENCE POLICY CENTER

``. . . immediately call on Congress to pass far-reaching industry regulation like the Firearms Safety and Consumer Protection Act . . . [which] would give the Treasury Department health and safety authority over the gun industry, and any rational regulator with that authority would ban handguns."341

PATRICK V. MURPHY, FORMER NEW YORK CITY POLICE COMMISSIONER

"We are at the point in time and terror where nothing short of a strong uniform policy of domestic disarmament will alleviate the danger which is crystal clear and perilously present. Let us take the guns away from the people."342

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (ACLU)

"We urge passage of federal legislation . . . to prohibit . . . the private ownership and possession of handguns."343

ROSIE O’DONNELL, TV TALK SHOW HOSTESS

“I think there should be a law -- and I know this is extreme -- that no one can have a gun in the U.S. If you have a gun, you go to jail. Only the police should have guns."344

“I don’t care if you want to hunt, I don’t care if you think it’s your right. I say, sorry, you are not allowed to own a gun, and if you do own a gun I think you should go to prison.”345

339 Parade Magazine, June 26, 1994
340 Reuters, June 9, 2000
341 Houston Chronicle, Nov. 5, 1999
342 Testimony to the National Association of Citizens Crime Commissions
343 Board of Directors in September 1976 - see national ACLU policy #47
344 Ottawa Sun, April 29, 1999
345 The Rosie O’Donnell Show April 19, 1999
VIOLENCE POLICY CENTER

“[gun] Licensing systems are very expensive to administer . . . licensing and registration in America would have little effect on the vast majority of gun violence.”

“We are] the largest national gun control advocacy group seeking a ban on handgun production.”

ALAN M. DERSHOWITZ, LAWYER AND FRANKFURTER PROFESSOR OF LAW

“The Second Amendment has no place in modern society.”

BROOKS BROWN, SAFE COLORADO

“It was worth lying to him, or deceiving him . . .”

The media

MICHAEL GARDNER, PRESIDENT OF NBC NEWS

“There is no reason for anyone in this country . . . to buy, to own, to have, to use a handgun ...The only way to control handgun use in this country is to prohibit the guns.”

“In fact, only police, soldiers -- and, maybe, licensed target ranges -- should have handguns. No one else needs one.”

CHARLES KRAUTHAMMER, SYNDICATED COLUMNIST

“The Brady Bill's only effect will be to desensitize the public to regulation of weapons in preparation for their ultimate confiscation.”

“Passing a law like the assault weapons ban is a symbolic, purely symbolic move. ... Its only real justification is not to reduce crime but to desensitize the public to the regulation of weapons in preparation for their ultimate confiscation.”

346 “Politics, paranoia fuel war of words over guns”, The Times Union, October 18, 2004
347 The Crimson Daily, April 9, 2003
348 Discussing an attempt to influence a congressman on a gun control bill, July 26, 2001, “SAFE Colorado Says Washington Stunt Was Irresponsible”, The Denver Channel
349 USA Today, January 16, 1992
351 The Washington Post, April 5, 1996
352 Ibid
EDITORIAL, LOS ANGELES TIMES

“Why should America adopt a policy of near-zero tolerance for private gun ownership? Because it’s the only alternative to the present insanity. Without both strict limits on access to new weapons and aggressive efforts to reduce the supply of existing weapons, no one can be safe.”353

"...The Times supports a near-total ban on the manufacture and private ownership of handguns and assault weapons, leaving those guns almost exclusively in the hands of law enforcement officials."354

JACK E. WHITE, TIME MAGAZINE NATIONAL CORRESPONDENT

“Why not just ban the ownership of handguns when nobody needs one? Why not just ban semi-automatic rifles? Nobody needs one.”355

GARY WILLS, SYNDICATED COLUMNIST

“Every civilized society must disarm its citizens against each other.”356

The media in general

A two-year study by the Media Research Center concluded that television reporters are overwhelmingly opposed to Second Amendment rights. For broadcasts from major networks from July 1, 1995 to June 30, 1997, covering 244 gun policy stories:

- The ratio of anti-gun to pro-gun bias was 16:1.
- Anti-gun spokespeople (Sarah Brady, etc) were given three times the number of sound bites than pro-gun spokespeople (NRA, etc).

Your Government

The following exchange is from the appeal of the case of U.S. vs. Emerson in the Fifth Circuit Court. Meteja was the attorney for the U.S. Government.

Judge Garwood: [to Federal lawyer]: “You are saying that the Second Amendment is consistent with a position that you can take guns away from the public? You can restrict ownership of rifles, pistols and shotguns from all people? Is that the position of the United States?”

Meteja: [government lawyer]: “Yes”

355 Washington Times, May 8, 1999
356 Philadelphia Inquirer, May 17, 1981
Garwood: "Is it the position of the United States that persons who are not in the National Guard are afforded no protections under the Second Amendment?"

Meteja: Exactly.

*Meteja then said that even membership in the National Guard isn’t enough to protect the private ownership of a firearm. It wouldn’t protect the guns owned at the home of someone in the National Guard.*

Garwood: Membership in the National Guard isn’t enough? What else is needed?

Meteja: The weapon in question must be used in the National Guard.

**GEORGE NAPPER, ATLANTA PUBLIC-SAFETY COMMISSIONER**

"If I had my druthers, the only people who would have guns would be those who enforce the law." 357

**JANET RENO, FORMER U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL**

"The most effective means of fighting crime in the United States is to outlaw the possession of any type of firearm by the civilian populace." 358

---

357 U.S. News and World Report

358 Addressing a 1984 B’nai B’rith gathering in Coral Gables, Florida, per affidavit written by Fred Diamond of Miami.
PRO-GUN QUOTES

JOHN F. KENNEDY, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
"Today, we need a nation of Minutemen, citizens who are not only prepared to take arms, but citizens who regard the preservation of freedom as the basic purpose of their daily life and who are willing to consciously work and sacrifice for that freedom."

"By calling attention to 'a well regulated militia,' the 'security' of the nation, and the right of each citizen 'to keep and bear arms,' our founding fathers recognized the essentially civilian nature of our economy. Although it is extremely unlikely that the fears of governmental tyranny, which gave rise to the Second Amendment, will ever be a major danger to our nation, the Amendment still remains an important declaration of our basic civilian-military relationships, in which every citizen must be ready to participate in the defense of his country. For that reason I believe the Second Amendment will always be important."359

MAHATMA GANDHI, PEACEFUL REVOLUTIONARY
“Among the many misdeeds of the British rule in India, history will look upon the Act depriving a whole nation of arms, as the blackest.”

GEORGE ORWELL
“The totalitarian states can do great things, but there is one thing they cannot do: they cannot give the factory-worker a rifle and tell him to take it home and keep it in his bedroom. That rifle hanging on the wall of the working-class flat or labourer's cottage, is the symbol of democracy. It is our job to see that it stays there.”360

HUBERT HUMPHREY, FORMER U.S. SENATOR AND VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
"Certainly one of the chief guarantees of freedom under any government, no matter how popular and respected, is the right of citizens to keep and bear arms.... The right of citizens to bear arms is just one guarantee against arbitrary government, one more safeguard against tyranny... "361

JOHN ADAMS, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
“Resistance to sudden violence, for the preservation not only of my person, my limbs, and life, but of my property, is an indisputable right of nature which I have never surrendered to the public by the compact of society, and which perhaps, I could not surrender if I would.”362

359 Guns, "Know Your Lawmakers", April 1960, Page 4
360 Evening Standard, "Don't Let Colonel Blimp Ruin the Home Guard", Jan 8, 1941
362 Boston Gazette, Sept. 5, 1763
"Here, every private person is authorized to arm himself, and on the strength of this authority, I do not deny the inhabitants had a right to arm themselves at that time, for their defense, not for offense..."

**ST. GEORGE TUCKER, AMERICAN REVOLUTION MAJOR AND POST REVOLUTION JUDGE**

"In America we may reasonably hope that the people will never cease to regard the right of keeping and bearing arms as the surest pledge of their liberty."

**WALTER MONDALE, FORMER VICE PRESIDENT AND U.S. AMBASSADOR TO JAPAN**

"Gun bans don't disarm criminals, gun bans attract them."

**THOMAS JEFFERSON, AUTHOR OF THE AMERICAN DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE**

"No freeman shall be debarred the use of arms (within his own lands or tenements)."

"What country can preserve its liberties if their rulers are not warned from time to time that their people preserve the spirit of resistance. Let them take arms."

"The constitutions of most of our States assert that all power is inherent in the people; that... it is their right and duty to be at all times armed."

"One loves to possess arms, though they hope never to have occasion for them."

"I learn with great concern that [one] portion of our frontier so interesting, so important, and so exposed, should be so entirely unprovided with common fire-arms. I did not suppose any part of the United States so destitute of what is considered as among the first necessaries of a farm-house."

"None but an armed nation can dispense with a standing army. To keep ours armed and disciplined is therefore at all times important."

---

363 Opening statement as defense counsel for British soldiers on trial for the Boston Massacre in 1770; from the "Legal Papers of John Adams", Butterfield and Zobel; 1965
364 American Blackstone, 1803
365 April 20, 1994
366 Thomas Jefferson: Draft Virginia Constitution (with his note added), 1776. Papers 1:353
368 Thomas Jefferson to John Cartwright, 1824. Millennium Edition of The Writings of Thomas Jefferson 16:45
JAMES MADISON, AMERICAN FOUNDING FATHER

“[The Constitution preserves] the advantage of being armed which Americans possess over the people of almost every other nation...(where) the governments are afraid to trust the people with arms.”

OLIVER STONE, MOVIE DIRECTOR

"I like automatic weapons. I fought for my right to use them in Vietnam."

PATRICK HENRY, AMERICAN FOUNDING FATHER AND CATALYST FOR THE BILL OF RIGHTS

"The great objective is that every man be armed . . . . Everyone who is able may have a gun."

MACHIAVELLI

"The Swiss are well armed and enjoy great freedom”371

TENCHE COXE, REVOLUTIONARY ERA WRITER

“The unlimited power of the sword is not in the hands of either the federal or state governments, but, where I trust in God it will ever remain, in the hands of the people.”

"As civil rulers, not having their duty to the people before them, may attempt to tyrannize, and as the military forces which must be occasionally raised to defend our country, might pervert their power to the injury of their fellow citizens, the people are confirmed by the article in their right to keep and bear their private arms.”372

"Congress have no power to disarm the militia. Their swords, and every other terrible implement of the soldier, are the birthright of an American... The unlimited power of the sword is not in the hands of either the federal or state government, but, where I trust in God it will ever remain, in the hands of the people”373

MALCOLM X, AMERICAN BLACK CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIVIST

"It is criminal to teach a man not to defend himself when he is the constant victim of brutal attacks. It is legal and lawful to own a shotgun or a rifle. We believe in obeying the law.” 374

371 In Switzerland, all males age 20 to 42 are required to keep rifles or pistols at home
372 Remarks on the First Part of the Amendments to the Federal Constitution under the pseudonym ‘A Pennsylvanian’ in the Philadelphia Federal Gazette, June 18, 1789 at 2 col. 1
373 Pennsylvania Gazette, Feb. 20, 1788
374 Statement to the press, March 12, 1964
“... I must say this concerning the great controversy over rifles and shotguns. The only thing I’ve ever said is that in areas where the government has proven itself either unwilling or unable to defend the lives and the property of Negroes, it’s time for Negroes to defend themselves. Article number two of the constitutional amendments provides you and me the right to own a rifle or a shotgun. It is constitutionally legal to own a shogun or a rifle.”375

**SAMUEL ADAMS, MEMBER OF THE CONTINENTAL CONGRESS**

"That the said Constitution shall never be construed to authorize Congress to infringe the just liberty of the press or the rights of conscience; or to prevent the people of The United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms...”376

**WILLIAM RAWLE, POST-REVOLUTION U.S. ATTORNEY**

"The prohibition is general. No clause in the Constitution could by any rule of construction be conceived to give to Congress a power to disarm the people. Such a flagitious attempt could only be made under some general pretense by a state legislature. But if in any blind pursuit of inordinate power, either should attempt it, this amendment may be appealed to as a restraint on both.”377

**THOMAS PAINE, AMERICAN REVOLUTION POLITICAL PHILOSOPHER**

"Arms, like laws, discourage and keep the invader and plunderer in awe and preserve order...”

**Mafia informant Sammy "The Bull" Gravano**

"Gun control? It's the best thing you can do for crooks and gangsters. I want you to have nothing. If I'm a bad guy, I'm always gonna have a gun. Safety locks? You will pull the trigger with a lock on, and I'll pull the trigger. We'll see who wins.”

**RICHARD HENRY LEE, MEMBER OF THE CONTINENTAL CONGRESS**

"[W]hereas, to preserve liberty, it is essential that the whole body of the people always possess arms, and be taught alike, especially when young, how to use them;“378

**ALEXANDER HAMILTON, AUTHOR OF THE FEDERALIST PAPERS**

"The best we can hope for concerning the people at large is that they be properly armed.”379

---

376 Debates and Proceedings in the Convention of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at 86-87
377 A View of the Constitution 125-6 (2nd ed. 1829)
378 Letters from the Federal Farmer to the Republican, at 21,22,124
**ZACHARIAH JOHNSON**

"The people are not to be disarmed of their weapons. They are left in full possession of them."^{380}

**TIMOTHY DWIGHT, ARMY CHAPLAIN DURING THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION**

"To trust arms in the hands of the people at large has, in Europe, been believed...to be an experiment fraught only with danger. Here by a long trial it has been proved to be perfectly harmless...If the government be equitable; if it be reasonable in its exactions; if proper attention be paid to the education of children in knowledge and religion, few men will be disposed to use arms, unless for their amusement, and for the defense of themselves and their country."^{381}

---

^{379} The Federalist Papers at 184-8

^{380} Elliot, “Debates in the Several State Conventions”, 646

^{381} Travels in New England and New York [London 1823]
THOUGHTS ON GUN CONISCATION

In 1911, Turkey established gun control. Subsequently, from 1915 to 1917, 1.5-million Armenians, deprived of the means to defend themselves, were rounded up and killed.

In 1929, the Soviet Union established gun control. Then from 1929 to 1953, approximately 20-million dissidents were rounded up and killed.

In 1938 Germany established gun control. From 1939 to 1945 over 13-million Jews, gypsies, homosexuals, mentally ill, union leaders, Catholics and others, unable to fire a shot in protest, were rounded up and killed.

In 1935, China established gun control. Subsequently, between 1948 and 1952, over 20-million dissidents were rounded up and killed.

In 1956, Cambodia enshrined gun control. In just two years (1975-1977) over one million "educated" people were rounded up and killed.

In 1964, Guatemala locked in gun control. From 1964 to 1981, over 100,000 Mayan Indians were rounded up and killed as a result of their inability to defend themselves.

In 1970, Uganda got gun control. Over the next nine years over 300,000 Christians were rounded up and killed.

Over 56-million people have died because of gun control in the last century . . .

Senator Diane Feinstein, speaking on "60-Minutes" said "if I thought I could get the votes, I'd have taken them all."

SERIOUS QUESTIONS TO ASK YOURSELF

- If guns are effective enough to be a criminal's preferred tool, why are they not good enough to use for protection?
- Why do politicians insist their bodyguards be armed, but not you and I?
- If you and your children were face to face with a male attacker twice your size, what would you do – If you weren't armed? If you were armed?
- If guns are "too dangerous" to be in our society, how come our leaders want to be the only ones who have them? Do you trust our leaders implicitly to protect you at all times?
- Which is better – more gun control and the eventual banning of all guns in our society, or not sitting by helplessly watching as an intruder repeatedly rapes your 13-year-old daughter?
- If we ever completely ban guns, do you think there will be no more armed criminals in America?
- With so many gun laws already on the books, how come "gun crimes" still exist?

---

382 Most of the genocide statistics were reported “Death by ‘Gun Control’: The Human Cost of Victim Disarmament, Aaron Zelman & Richard W. Stevens, 2001
MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

Number of firearms in America: 228,000,000\textsuperscript{383}
Number of firearm owning households: At least 50,600,000\textsuperscript{384}
Projected firearm owning households in America: 60-85 million
Number of guns used in crimes: 450,000\textsuperscript{385}
Percentage of guns used in crimes: 0.09%

Violent crimes committed daily by paroled prisoners:

- Murders: 14
- Rapes: 48
- Robberies: 578

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

British crime statistics
The UK measure crime using two different processes:

**British Crime Survey (BCS):** The Home Office conducts surveys of the population to determine how often subjects have been affected by criminal activity. Data is projected to reflect the entire population.

**Police reporting:** Crimes are reported to the police and nationwide, census-level statistics are summarized.

The BCS has been reporting a declining crime rate in the UK while police reporting has shown an increase. The BCS has routinely been criticized because it under reports crime due to the following factors:

- Murdered and imprisoned people do not answer surveys
- Some crimes are not surveyed when victims are below age 16\textsuperscript{386}
- Crime against institutions (bank robbery, etc.)

These deficiencies are so significant, that even the British government does not believe the accuracy of the BCS.

\textsuperscript{383} BATF estimate, 1999
\textsuperscript{384} Surveys show a “reported” ownership rate of 46%, but it is universally believed that these surveys under-reported (i.e., people that own firearms don’t want to admit so to a pollster). This is validated by surveys performed by the National Opinion Research Center. They perform their surveys face-to-face at the respondent’s home, and routinely have reported gun ownership rates 3-6% lower than telephone based surveys.
\textsuperscript{385} Ibid
\textsuperscript{386} This is a serious omission as most gang crime is committed by and against young people.
“[T]he BCS did not record ‘various categories of violent crime’, including murder and rape, retail crime, drug-taking, or offences in which the victims were aged below 16. The most reliable measure of crime is that which is reported to the police. ‘We’re facing over a million violent crimes a year for the first time in history.’” 387

One curious tidbit: Murder rates initially appear to decline after 2002/2003. This is chiefly due to some 172 murders by serial killer Dr. Shipman which were booked in 2002/03 and did not recur in 2003/04.

387 David Davis, shadow home secretary, “Row over figures as crime drops 5%”, The Guardian, July 22, 2004